□

Home LicensingPatentsArticles

Asset Versioning as First-Class Metadata: Version Entries Under UIDs With Lineage Tracking

by Nick Clark | Published March 27, 2026 | PDF

In the adaptive index, versions are not opaque revision numbers appended to file names. They are first-class metadata entries stored under the asset's unique identifier, each carrying a lineage reference to its predecessor and the governance record that admitted the change. This makes version history a structural property of the namespace itself, queryable, governable, and verifiable without external version control systems.

What It Is

Each versioned asset in the adaptive index is identified by its UID. Under that UID, version entries are stored as ordered metadata records. Each version entry includes the version's content reference, a timestamp, a lineage pointer to the previous version, and a governance record identifying which anchors admitted the version and under which policy.

Versions are not separate objects. They are structured metadata within the asset's index entry. This means version history is co-located with the asset itself and governed by the same anchor group, ensuring that access to version history follows the same trust and policy rules as access to the current version.

Why It Matters

In conventional systems, versioning is bolted on through external systems: version control repositories, content management systems, or application-level revision tracking. These external systems maintain their own access controls, their own storage, and their own governance rules that may not align with the namespace that references the versioned assets.

First-class versioning eliminates this fragmentation. The namespace itself knows what versions exist, how they relate to each other, and who authorized each one. There is no gap between the naming layer and the versioning layer because they are the same layer.

How It Works Structurally

When an asset is created, its initial version is recorded as the first entry in its version metadata. Each subsequent mutation to the asset's content produces a new version entry that references the prior version through a lineage pointer. The lineage pointer is a cryptographic reference, enabling tamper-evident verification of the version chain.

Resolution requests can specify a version qualifier: latest, a specific version number, or a version at a specific point in time. The governing anchors resolve the request against the version metadata and return the appropriate content reference. Access to historical versions is governed by the same policy graph that governs current access, with optional additional constraints for sensitive revision history.

Version metadata participates in the index's lineage preservation: the creation of each version is recorded in the scope's lineage chain, making version history auditable at both the asset level and the scope level.

What It Enables

First-class versioning enables governed content evolution within the namespace itself. Regulatory environments can enforce version retention policies at the namespace level. Collaborative platforms can provide version comparison and rollback without external tooling. Content delivery systems can serve specific versions by policy without maintaining separate version routing infrastructure.

For AI systems that interact with indexed knowledge, versioned entries provide a temporal dimension: an agent can query what the index contained at any historical point, enabling temporal reasoning and provenance verification that flat namespaces cannot support.

Adaptive Indexing All 21 steps →

Resolution without global consensus. Anchor-governed self-organization.

Patent
US 19/326,036 · published
Primary Technical Disclosure
○ The Adaptive Index: A Scalable Foundation for Decentralized Systems
Secondary Technical
○ Anchor-Governed Hierarchical Nesting: Recursive Semantic Containers at Unlimited Depth○ Entropy-Triggered Index Splitting: Deterministic Partitioning Under Mutation Load○ Dormant Index Merging: Recursive Consolidation of Low-Entropy Subindices○ Elastic Anchor Group Management: Governance That Scales With Criticality○ Trust-Weighted Quorum Voting: Consensus Where Weight Reflects Earned Trust○ Asynchronous Consensus Coordination: Offline Vote Completion With Reconciliation○ Best-Match Alias Querying: Longest-Match Resolution With Stepwise Delegation○ Action-Typed Aliases: Behavioral Intent Embedded in the Namespace○ UID Persistence Through Alias Mutation: Stable Identity Across Structural Change○ Lineage-Preserving Structural Mutation: Cryptographic History Through Every Change○ Proximity-Based Routing With Trust Scoring: Dynamic Path Selection in Decentralized Networks○ Dynamic Device Hash for Pseudonymous Authentication: Volatile Identity Without Stored Credentials○ On-Demand Adaptive Caching: Cache Instances That Follow Usage, Not Configuration○ Predictive Cache Prefetching: Forecasting Models That Proactively Instantiate Caches○ Contextual Access Enforcement: Policy Graphs Evaluated With Real-Time Telemetry○ Mutation Router With Contextual Signals: Policy-Aware Propagation Path Selection○ Impact Simulation During Mutation Staging: Pre-Execution Analysis of Proposed Changes○ DNS Bidirectional Fallback: Hybrid Resolution With Legacy DNS Compatibility● Asset Versioning as First-Class Metadata: Version Entries Under UIDs With Lineage Tracking○ Telemetry-Driven Topology Mutation: Autonomous Network Reconfiguration From Operational Data
Applications (General)
○ Applying Adaptive Indexes to Legacy Decentralized Systems○ Why Edge Platforms Still Depend on a Central Authority○ Supply Chain Tracking Through Governed Namespace Resolution○ Social Media Platforms Without Central Namespace Authority○ Healthcare Data Federation Through Scoped Governance○ Government Identity Infrastructure at Scale○ Financial Market Data With Governed Resolution○ Gaming and Metaverse Namespace Governance
Applications (Specific)
○ Cloudflare's Edge Has a Namespace Problem○ DNS Is 40 Years Old and Still Running the Internet○ ENS Solved the Wrong Half of the Naming Problem○ Handshake Decentralized the Root. Everything Below It Is Still Ungoverned.○ IPFS Solved Content Addressing. It Didn't Solve Naming, Persistence, or Governance.○ Fastly Built the Fastest Cache Invalidation in the Industry. The Authority to Invalidate Still Lives in One Place.○ Akamai Built the Internet's Delivery Infrastructure. It Was Designed for a World That Needed Central Control.○ Bluesky Identified the Right Problem. The Architecture That Solves It Is the Adaptive Index.○ Consul's Service Catalog Is Brilliant Infrastructure. It Is Still a Central Registry.○ Istio Solved Programmable Traffic Policy. The Namespace That Routes Traffic Is Still Central.○ Unstoppable Domains Proved NFT Ownership Works. The Namespace Governance Model Is Still Unresolved.○ The Graph Built the Index Layer for Web3. The Index Itself Still Has a Governance Problem.○ Filecoin Proved Verifiable Storage. Discovery and Namespace Governance Are Still Unsolved.○ Arweave Made Data Permanent. It Has No Governance Model for What Permanent Data Means Over Time.○ Ceramic Built Mutable Data Streams for Web3. The Governance of Those Streams Is Still Not

Local.○ Kubernetes Service Discovery Resolves Within Clusters. Cross-Cluster Namespace Is Central.○ Amazon Route 53 Is the Most Reliable DNS on Earth. It Is Still DNS Architecture.○ HashiCorp Nomad Distributes Scheduling. The Namespace That Organizes It Is Still Central.○ ZooKeeper Coordinates Distributed Systems. The Coordinator Is a Single Point of Authority.○ etcd Stores the State of Kubernetes. The State Store Has No Scoped Governance.○ Consul KV Distributes Configuration. The Distribution Authority Is Still Central.○ Raft Made Consensus Understandable. It Did Not Make Consensus Scope-Aware.○ Paxos Proved Consensus Is Possible. It Did Not Address Namespace Governance.○ Cosmos Tendermint Enabled Sovereign Blockchains. The Namespace Between Them Is Ungoverned.○ AWS Cloud Map Discovers Services. The Discovery Authority Lives in One Region's Control Plane.○ Azure Traffic Manager Routes Globally. The Routing Authority Is Centrally Defined.○ GCP Service Directory Centralizes Service Registration. Registration Is Not Governance.○ Netlify DNS Simplifies Deployment Routing. The Namespace Authority Is Still Netlify's.○ Vercel's Edge Network Executes at the Boundary. Routing Authority Does Not.○ Bunny CDN Delivers Content Globally. Cache Governance Is Still Central.○ KeyCDN Optimized Content Delivery. The Delivery Namespace Is Centrally Controlled.○ Limelight Networks Built Private Infrastructure for Delivery. The Namespace Governance Is Still Central.○ StackPath Combined CDN With Edge Computing. Namespace Authority Remained Central.○ Envoy Proxy Made Service Mesh Data Planes Programmable. The Control Plane Still Governs.○ NGINX Powers the Web's Reverse Proxy Layer. Its Configuration Is Statically Defined.○ Traefik Discovers Services Automatically. The Discovery Namespace Is Still External.○ Linkerd Simplified the Service Mesh. The Namespace It Meshes Is Still Kubernetes.○ Namecheap Made Domain Registration Accessible. Domain Governance Remains the Registrar Model.○ GoDaddy Registered More Domains Than Anyone. The Namespace Model Has Not Changed.○ DNSimple Made DNS Management Developer-Friendly. The Governance Model Is Still DNS.○ Datadog Observes Everything. The Namespace It Observes Has No Governed Structure.○ Grafana Unified Observability Visualization. The Data Namespace It Queries Has No Governed Structure.○ Prometheus Defined Cloud-Native Monitoring. Its Metric Namespace Has No Governance Layer.○ New Relic Pioneered APM. The Telemetry Namespace It Built Is Centrally Indexed.○ Splunk Indexes Machine Data at Scale. The Index Namespace Is Centrally Administered.
Adaptive Indexing overview →

AQ
deterministic
autonomy

Legal

Subject to one or more pending U.S. and international patent applications, see Patents for the current list and status. No license, express or implied, is granted. Any use requires a separate written agreement—see Licensing. Patent applications referenced on this site are pending. Claim scope, if any, is subject to examination and may issue in altered form or not at all. See Legal for terms and conditions.

Last updated: 2026-03-03

- Inventive Steps
- Licensing
- Patents
- Articles
- Legal
- Opportunities
- Sitemap

-
- nick@qu3ry.net
- 72 28 14 36 01

Invented by Nick Clark | Founding Investors: Devin Wilkie