



[Home](#) [Licensing](#) [Patents](#) [Articles](#)

## **Affective State for Elderly Care Companion Agents**

by [Nick Clark](#) | Published March 27, 2026 | [PDF](#)

Elderly care facilities face chronic staffing shortages while residents experience loneliness and declining social interaction. AI companions offer persistent social engagement, but current systems reset between sessions and cannot track emotional trajectories over the weeks and months that matter for elder care. Affective state as a deterministic control primitive enables companion agents that maintain genuine emotional continuity, detect mood changes that correlate with health concerns, and adapt their interaction style to each resident's evolving emotional baseline over extended care relationships.

---

### **Why emotional continuity matters in elder care**

Elderly residents, particularly those in assisted living or memory care, depend on consistent relationships for emotional wellbeing. Human caregivers rotate through shifts, introducing discontinuity. Family visits are intermittent. The social isolation that results from inconsistent relationships correlates

with cognitive decline, depression, and reduced quality of life.

AI companions offer the possibility of persistent, available social engagement. But current companion systems are emotionally stateless. They respond to the current conversation without awareness of the resident's emotional trajectory over days, weeks, or months. A resident who has been gradually withdrawing over two weeks receives the same interaction as a resident who is socially engaged and thriving. The companion cannot detect the trajectory because it has no persistent emotional model.

For elder care, the emotional trajectory is often more clinically significant than any single interaction. Gradual withdrawal, increasing irritability, declining engagement with previously enjoyed activities, and shifts in sleep-related conversation patterns are early indicators of depression, medication side effects, or cognitive changes. A companion without persistent affective state cannot detect these trajectories.

## Persistent affect fields for care relationships

Affective state provides elderly care companions with named emotional fields that persist across sessions and evolve over the timescales relevant to care. An engagement field tracks the resident's social participation level over weeks. A contentment baseline represents the resident's typical emotional state, updated gradually. An anxiety field responds to expressed concerns and decays naturally between sessions. A connection field reflects the depth of the relationship between the resident and the companion over time.

These fields update according to computable rules tuned for elder care dynamics. Engagement decay is slower than in younger populations because elderly residents may have longer intervals between interactions due to health events. Contentment baseline shifts are monitored over weeks rather than days, with the rate of change being more significant than the absolute value.

The companion's interaction style adapts based on these persistent fields. When engagement is declining, the companion introduces more stimulating conversation topics, references shared memories from previous sessions, or suggests activities. When anxiety is elevated following a health event, the companion provides more reassurance and checks in more frequently. These adaptations occur structurally rather than being prompted per-session.

## Health-correlated mood monitoring

The clinical value of persistent affective state in elder care lies in trajectory detection. When a resident's emotional fields show patterns that correlate with known health concerns, the companion can alert care staff. A sustained decline in engagement combined with increasing anxiety may indicate the onset of depression. A shift from the contentment baseline after a medication change may indicate a side effect. Increasing confusion signals in conversation combined with emotional volatility may suggest cognitive changes requiring assessment.

The companion does not make clinical diagnoses. It maintains a computable emotional trajectory and flags deviations from established baselines to care staff. The care team receives structured emotional data supplementing their clinical observations: the resident's engagement has declined by a specific measurable amount over the past two weeks, anxiety has been elevated since a specific date, and contentment baseline has shifted downward since a medication change.

This structured emotional data provides care staff with information they cannot practically gather through intermittent interactions. A caregiver who sees a resident for thirty minutes per shift cannot detect gradual two-week trajectories. A companion that interacts daily and maintains persistent affective state can.

## Governance and family integration

Emotional data about elderly residents requires careful governance. Affective state fields are governed by policy constraints that define who can access emotional trajectory data, how it is used, and what thresholds trigger alerts. Family members may be granted access to summary emotional reports with the resident's consent. Care staff receive clinical-relevant trajectory alerts. The companion's emotional data is never used for purposes outside the defined care relationship.

Valence stabilization ensures the companion maintains psychologically appropriate emotional boundaries. The companion does not develop dependency on the resident's engagement. It does not become emotionally volatile when the resident is having a difficult day. Governance constraints keep the companion's own emotional dynamics within ranges that are therapeutically appropriate for the care relationship.

For elder care facilities, persistent affective state transforms AI companions from novelty conversation partners into clinical support tools that provide continuous emotional monitoring alongside social engagement. The companion improves quality of life through consistent relationship while generating clinically valuable longitudinal emotional data that existing staffing models cannot produce.

[Affective State All 21 steps →](#)

Emotion as a computational primitive, not a simulation.

Primary Technical Disclosure

[◦ Affective State as a Deterministic Control Primitive for Semantic Agents](#)

Secondary Technical

[◦ Affective State as Seventh Canonical Field](#)◦ [Named Control Field Modulation Architecture](#)◦ [Affect-Modulated Promotion Thresholds](#)◦ [Deterministic Affect Encoding and Update Mechanics](#)◦ [Emotional Decay Curves With Hysteresis](#)◦ [Entropy-Governed Valence Stabilization](#)◦ [Affective Inheritance in Delegation Chains](#)◦ [Emotional Quarantine and Volatility Management](#)◦ [Affect-Modulated Trust Slope Validation](#)◦ [Biological Signal-to-Affective Coupling](#)◦ [Affective Contagion in Multi-Agent Systems](#)◦ [Affect-Modulated Discovery Traversal](#)◦ [Affect-Governance Separation](#)◦ [Policy-Bounded Affective Updates](#)◦ [Affect as Cross-Primitive Input](#)◦ [Affect-Modulated Inference Integration](#)◦ [Substrate-Agnostic Affect Deployment](#)◦ [Pseudonymous Emotional Operation](#)◦ [Temporal Cognition Field](#)

Applications (General)

[◦ Companion AI That Maintains Emotional Consistency Across Sessions](#)◦ [Therapeutic Agent Affect Management Under Clinical Constraints](#)◦ [Affective State for Customer Service Agents](#)• [Affective State for Elderly Care Companion Agents](#)◦ [Affective State for Crisis Response Agents](#)◦ [Affective State for Negotiation Agents](#)◦ [Affective State for Educational Tutoring Agents](#)◦ [Affective State for HR and Recruitment Agents](#)

## Applications (Specific)

[◦ Replika's Emotional Memory Is Stateless](#)[◦ Character.ai's Personality Problem Is Deeper Than Prompting](#)[◦ Woebot's Therapeutic Affect Has No Persistent State](#)[◦ Elomia's Empathy Resets Every Session](#)[◦ Hume AI Measures Emotion but Cannot Govern It](#)[◦ Affectiva Reads Faces but Not Emotional Trajectories](#)[◦ Cogito Scores Conversations Without Emotional State](#)[◦ Beyond Verbal Decoded Voice Without Building Emotional Memory](#)[◦ EmotiBit Captures Physiology Without Affective Governance](#)[◦ RealEyes Measures Attention Without Emotional Persistence](#)  
[Affective State overview →](#)

AQ

deterministic

autonomy

## Legal

Subject to one or more pending U.S. and international patent applications, see [Patents](#) for the current list and status. No license, express or implied, is granted. Any use requires a separate written agreement—see [Licensing](#). Patent applications referenced on this site are pending. Claim scope, if any, is subject to examination and may issue in altered form or not at all. See [Legal](#) for terms and conditions.

Adaptive Query™ is a trademark of Nicholas Clark. U.S. federal registration is pending, federal registration. AQ™, AQ Inside™, Adaptive Index™, Adaptive Network™, Semantic Agent™, @AQ™, AQID™, and Adaptive Coin™ are used as trademarks in connection with the Adaptive Query platform and brand. Other names may be trademarks of their respective owners.

Platform operated by Adaptive Query LLC, which provides patent and trademark licensing services. Copyright © 2025-2026 Nicholas Clark. All rights reserved.

Last updated: 2026-03-03



- [Inventive Steps](#)
- [Licensing](#)
- [Patents](#)
- [Articles](#)
- [Legal](#)
- [Opportunities](#)
- [Sitemap](#)



- 
- [nick@qu3ry.net](mailto:nick@qu3ry.net)
- 72 28 14 36 01



[Invented by Nick Clark](#) | Founding Investors: Devin Wilkie