



[Home](#) [Licensing](#) [Patents](#) [Articles](#)

Woebot's Therapeutic Affect Has No Persistent State

by [Nick Clark](#) | Published March 27, 2026 | [PDF](#)

Woebot achieved what most digital health products never do: FDA Breakthrough Device designation for a conversational agent delivering cognitive behavioral therapy. Its structured dialogue trees guide users through evidence-based interventions with measurable clinical outcomes. But Woebot's model of patient emotional state is reconstructed from session history rather than maintained as persistent computable fields. The result is a therapeutic agent that tracks what happened without tracking how it felt. Resolving this requires affective state as a deterministic control primitive.

What Woebot built

Woebot's engineering reflects genuine clinical rigor. The system delivers structured CBT interventions through conversational interaction, adapts its dialogue based on user responses, and maintains clinical safety guardrails that prevent the system from operating outside its therapeutic scope. The

product has demonstrated measurable reductions in depression and anxiety symptoms in randomized controlled trials.

The technical architecture combines structured dialogue flows with natural language understanding to identify user mood, presenting concerns, and readiness for specific interventions. Session data is logged and used to inform subsequent sessions. When a user returns, Woebot can reference previous topics, recall which interventions were introduced, and adjust its approach based on logged progress.

The gap between logging and feeling

The structural limitation emerges in longitudinal therapeutic relationships. A patient working through grief over several weeks generates an emotional trajectory that a human therapist tracks intuitively: the initial acute distress, the gradual stabilization, the unexpected regression triggered by an anniversary, the slow rebuilding. Each session's emotional posture is informed by the therapist's persistent sense of where the patient is on that trajectory.

Woebot can retrieve that the patient reported sadness three sessions ago and that the most recent session showed improvement. It cannot consult a persistent emotional model that has been continuously evolving between sessions. The distress field has not been decaying at a governed rate. The resilience field has not been incrementally building. The vulnerability to regression has not been computed from the interaction of multiple affective variables over time.

This matters clinically. A patient who reports feeling better may be genuinely improving or may be in a temporary elevation that precedes relapse. A therapist with persistent emotional state tracking can distinguish these cases because the underlying trajectory tells a different story than the momentary self-report. Woebot, lacking persistent affective state, must take each session's reported mood as ground truth.

Why structured protocols are not enough

CBT is inherently structured, and Woebot leverages that structure well. The protocols define which interventions to offer, in what sequence, based on the presenting condition. But protocols govern the therapeutic plan. They do not model the patient's evolving emotional landscape.

A protocol can say that after four sessions of cognitive restructuring, behavioral activation should be introduced. It cannot say that this particular patient's anxiety field is still elevated despite reported improvement, that frustration has been building across the last three sessions, and that the combination suggests the current approach is generating compliance without genuine engagement. These assessments require persistent emotional state that evolves according to defined dynamics, not logged session outcomes.

What persistent affective state enables for therapy

Affective state as a deterministic control primitive gives the therapeutic agent named emotional fields for the patient model: distress, resilience, engagement, trust, frustration. Each field updates according to session events, decays between sessions at governed rates, and interacts with other fields through defined coupling rules. The agent consults these fields before selecting interventions, not just the protocol schedule and the session log.

The asymmetric update property is therapeutically significant. Traumatic disclosures elevate distress fields rapidly. Recovery proceeds slowly. A single positive session does not reset a trajectory that has been deteriorating for weeks. This matches clinical reality and produces intervention timing that feels therapeutically appropriate rather than protocol-driven.

Coupling between the patient's affective model and the agent's own therapeutic confidence creates a feedback loop that mirrors human clinical judgment. When the patient's engagement field drops while the compliance field remains high, the agent's confidence in the current approach decreases. This is not sentiment analysis. It is a persistent state machine whose variables evolve deterministically and inform every therapeutic decision.

The structural requirement

Woebot's clinical effectiveness within sessions is established. The structural gap is between sessions, where the patient's emotional state continues to evolve but the system's model of it does not. Closing this gap requires affect as a first-class computational primitive: persistent, governed, coupled to the agent's cognitive state, and operating independently of whether the patient is actively in a session. The protocol tells the agent what to do. Persistent affective state tells it when, and whether, that intervention is appropriate for this patient at this moment in their emotional trajectory.

[Affective State All 21 steps →](#)

Emotion as a computational primitive, not a simulation.

Primary Technical Disclosure

[◦ Affective State as a Deterministic Control Primitive for Semantic Agents](#)

Secondary Technical

[◦ Affective State as Seventh Canonical Field](#)◦ [Named Control Field Modulation Architecture](#)◦ [Affect-Modulated Promotion Thresholds](#)◦ [Deterministic Affect Encoding and Update Mechanics](#)◦ [Emotional Decay Curves With Hysteresis](#)◦ [Entropy-Governed Valence Stabilization](#)◦ [Affective Inheritance in Delegation Chains](#)◦ [Emotional Quarantine and Volatility Management](#)◦ [Affect-Modulated Trust Slope Validation](#)◦ [Biological Signal-to-Affective Coupling](#)◦ [Affective Contagion in Multi-Agent Systems](#)◦ [Affect-Modulated Discovery Traversal](#)◦ [Affect-Governance Separation](#)◦ [Policy-Bounded Affective Updates](#)◦ [Affect as Cross-Primitive Input](#)◦ [Affect-Modulated Inference Integration](#)◦ [Substrate-Agnostic Affect Deployment](#)◦ [Pseudonymous Emotional Operation](#)◦ [Temporal Cognition Field](#)

Applications (General)

[◦ Companion AI That Maintains Emotional Consistency Across Sessions](#)◦ [Therapeutic Agent Affect Management Under Clinical Constraints](#)◦ [Affective State for Customer Service Agents](#)◦ [Affective State for Elderly Care Companion Agents](#)◦ [Affective State for Crisis Response Agents](#)◦ [Affective State for Negotiation Agents](#)◦ [Affective State for Educational Tutoring Agents](#)◦ [Affective State for HR and Recruitment Agents](#)

Applications (Specific)

[◦ Replika's Emotional Memory Is Stateless](#)◦ [Character.ai's Personality Problem Is Deeper Than Prompting](#)● [Woebot's Therapeutic Affect Has No Persistent State](#)◦ [Elomia's Empathy Resets Every Session](#)◦ [Hume AI Measures Emotion but Cannot Govern It](#)◦ [Affectiva Reads Faces but Not Emotional](#)

[Trajectories](#)◦ [Cogito Scores Conversations Without Emotional State](#)◦ [Beyond Verbal Decoded Voice Without Building Emotional Memory](#)◦ [EmotiBit Captures Physiology Without Affective Governance](#)◦ [RealEyes Measures Attention Without Emotional Persistence](#)
[Affective State overview](#) →

AQ
deterministic
autonomy

Legal

Subject to one or more pending U.S. and international patent applications, see [Patents](#) for the current list and status. No license, express or implied, is granted. Any use requires a separate written agreement—see [Licensing](#). Patent applications referenced on this site are pending. Claim scope, if any, is subject to examination and may issue in altered form or not at all. See [Legal](#) for terms and conditions.

Adaptive Query™ is a trademark of Nicholas Clark. U.S. federal registration is pending. federal registration. AQ™, AQ Inside™, Adaptive Index™, Adaptive Network™, Semantic Agent™, @AQ™, AQID™, and Adaptive Coin™ are used as trademarks in connection with the Adaptive Query platform and brand. Other names may be trademarks of their respective owners.

Platform operated by Adaptive Query LLC, which provides patent and trademark licensing services. Copyright © 2025-2026 Nicholas Clark. All rights reserved.

Last updated: 2026-03-03



- [Inventive Steps](#)

- [Licensing](#)
- [Patents](#)
- [Articles](#)
- [Legal](#)
- [Opportunities](#)
- [Sitemap](#)



-
- nick@qu3ry.net
- 72 28 14 36 01



[Invented by Nick Clark](#) | Founding Investors: Devin Wilkie