



[Home](#) [Licensing](#) [Patents](#) [Articles](#)

Lockheed Martin Automates Targeting, Not Engagement Governance

by [Nick Clark](#) | Published March 28, 2026 | [PDF](#)

Lockheed Martin integrates AI into defense systems to accelerate target identification, threat assessment, and engagement recommendations. The automation reduces the time between detection and decision. But automating the targeting pipeline does not structurally govern the engagement decision. The system recommends. A human approves. The governance is procedural, not architectural. Domain-parameterized cognitive architecture provides structural engagement governance through quorum validation, confidence-gated authorization, and coherence verification that is built into the system rather than layered on through procedure.

What Lockheed Martin built

Lockheed Martin's AI integration spans sensor fusion, automated target recognition, threat classification, and decision support. AI models process inputs from radar, electro-optical sensors, signals intelligence, and other sources to identify potential targets and classify threat levels. The system presents engagement recommendations to human operators who make the final decision.

The human-in-the-loop model is the current governance mechanism. AI automates the analysis. Humans authorize the action. The separation is maintained through procedure and doctrine. But the system architecture does not structurally enforce the governance. The AI produces a recommendation with a confidence score. The human makes a decision under time pressure with cognitive load that the AI's speed has amplified. The procedural governance is real but architecturally unsupported.

The gap between automated targeting and governed engagement

Automated targeting optimizes the speed and accuracy of identifying what to engage. Governed engagement controls whether, when, and under what conditions engagement is authorized. These are different operations that require different architectural support. A system that identifies targets quickly and accurately has solved a perception problem. A system that governs engagement decisions has solved an authorization problem.

Quorum-based engagement authorization provides structural governance that procedural human-in-the-loop cannot match under operational conditions. In a quorum model, the engagement decision requires validation from multiple independent subsystems: sensor confidence, target classification confidence, rules-of-engagement compliance, and collateral assessment must each independently authorize the engagement. The decision is not a single human approval of a system recommendation. It is a structural validation across independent governance nodes.

Confidence-gated authorization means the system structurally cannot engage above its validated confidence envelope. If sensor conditions degrade, the confidence gate restricts engagement options before any human decision is required. The architecture prevents the scenario where a human operator approves an engagement that the system's own confidence does not support. The gate is structural, not advisory.

What domain-parameterized architecture enables for defense systems

With cognitive architecture parameterized for the defense domain, Lockheed Martin's AI capabilities operate within governed engagement constraints. The targeting models provide identification and classification. The architecture provides authorization governance. The domain parameterization specifies engagement thresholds, quorum requirements, and confidence gates appropriate for the specific operational context.

Fleet-level coherence ensures that multiple platforms operating in the same theater maintain coordinated engagement governance. A drone swarm does not make independent engagement decisions. The fleet's coherence layer validates that individual platform assessments are consistent before engagement is authorized at the fleet level. Inconsistency triggers a governance pause, not an override.

Structural integrity under degraded conditions ensures that when communication is disrupted or sensors fail, the system degrades to a governed restricted state rather than an ungoverned autonomous state. The architecture specifies that reduced capability means restricted authorization, not autonomous action. Degradation governance is designed into the architecture rather than hoped for in the doctrine.

The structural requirement

Lockheed Martin's AI accelerates targeting and threat assessment. The structural gap is between automated targeting and architecturally governed engagement. Domain-parameterized cognitive architecture provides quorum-based engagement authorization, confidence-gated decision restrictions, fleet-level coherence, and governed degradation that procedural human-in-the-loop governance cannot structurally guarantee.

[Applications All 21 steps →](#)

Same primitives. Different domains. One architecture.

Primary Technical Disclosure

[◦ One Architecture, Every Domain: How the Same Cognitive Primitives Parameterize Across Autonomous Vehicles, Defense, Companion AI, and Therapeutic Agents](#)

Secondary Technical

[◦ Confidence-Governed Autonomous Driving Decisions](#)◦ [Quorum-Based Engagement Authorization for Defense Systems](#)◦ [Narrative Unlock Engine and Relationship Milestones for Companion AI](#)◦ [Attachment Challenge Module: Testing Relational Health](#)◦ [Skill-Gated Relational Readiness for Social Platforms](#)◦ [Fleet-Level Affective State Aggregation for Traffic Management](#)◦ [Therapeutic Relationship Integrity for AI-Assisted Therapy](#)◦ [Physical Capability Envelopes for Embodied Robotics](#)◦ [Curriculum-Gated Adaptive Learning Platforms](#)◦ [Continuity-Based Facility Access Control](#)◦ [Confidence-Governed Financial Trading Systems](#)◦ [Rights-Grade Content Generation With Provenance Tracking](#)◦ [EU AI Act Structural Conformity Through Architecture](#)

Applications (General)

[◦ Autonomous Vehicle Full-Stack Governance From Sensor to Motor](#)◦ [Defense Engagement Authorization Through Multi-Level Confidence](#)◦ [Full-Stack Cognition Architecture for Healthcare](#)◦ [Full-Stack Cognition Architecture for Financial Services](#)◦ [Full-Stack Cognition Architecture for Education](#)◦ [Full-Stack Cognition Architecture for Smart Cities](#)◦ [Full-Stack Cognition Architecture for Manufacturing](#)◦ [Full-Stack Cognition Architecture for Agriculture](#)

Applications (Specific)

[◦ Waymo's Stack Lacks Unified Cognitive Governance](#)◦ [Anduril's Defense Stack Needs Unified Cognitive Governance](#)◦ [Epic Systems Needs Cognitive Governance for Clinical AI](#)◦ [Bloomberg Terminal's AI Needs Unified Cognitive Governance](#)◦ [Tesla Robotaxi Optimizes Driving, Not Cognitive Architecture](#)◦ [Lockheed Martin Automates Targeting, Not Engagement Governance](#)◦ [Siemens Healthineers Automates Diagnosis Without Cognitive Governance](#)◦ [Palantir AIP Deploys LLMs Without Cognitive Architecture](#)◦ [C3 AI Provides Enterprise AI Applications Without Cognitive Coherence](#)◦ [UiPath Automates Tasks Without Cognitive Governance](#)

[Applications overview →](#)

AQ

deterministic

autonomy

Legal

Subject to one or more pending U.S. and international patent applications, see [Patents](#) for the current list and status. No license, express or implied, is granted. Any use requires a separate written agreement—see [Licensing](#). Patent applications referenced on this site are pending. Claim scope, if any, is subject to examination and may issue in altered form or not at all. See [Legal](#) for terms and conditions.

Adaptive Query™ is a trademark of Nicholas Clark. U.S. federal registration is pending. federal registration. AQ™, AQ Inside™, Adaptive Index™, Adaptive Network™, Semantic Agent™, @AQ™, AQID™, and Adaptive Coin™ are used as trademarks in connection with the Adaptive Query platform and brand. Other names may be trademarks of their respective owners.

Platform operated by Adaptive Query LLC, which provides patent and trademark licensing services. Copyright © 2025-2026 Nicholas Clark. All rights reserved.

Last updated: 2026-03-03



- [Inventive Steps](#)
- [Licensing](#)
- [Patents](#)
- [Articles](#)
- [Legal](#)
- [Opportunities](#)
- [Sitemap](#)



-
- nick@qu3ry.net
- 72 28 14 36 01



[Invented by Nick Clark](#) | Founding Investors: Devin Wilkie