



[Home](#) [Licensing](#) [Patents](#) [Articles](#)

## Physical Capability Envelopes for Embodied Robotics

by [Nick Clark](#) | Published March 27, 2026 | [PDF](#)

The capability envelope framework extends to physical robotics by incorporating actuator limits, sensor ranges, environmental constraints, and mechanical wear into the capability assessment. A robotic arm that has operated for ten thousand hours has different capability characteristics than a new one. A robot in a dusty environment has different sensor reliability than one in a clean room. Physical capability envelopes capture these realities.

---

### What It Is

Physical capability envelopes extend the computational capability framework to embodied systems. The envelope incorporates actuator specifications (force limits, speed ranges, precision tolerances), sensor characteristics (range, resolution, degradation curves), environmental factors (temperature, humidity, contamination), and wear state (hours of operation, maintenance history, component age).

## Why It Matters

Robotic systems that operate beyond their physical capabilities produce dangerous failures: motors that exceed torque limits, sensors operating outside calibrated ranges, and mechanisms operating beyond wear tolerances. Physical capability envelopes prevent these failures by making physical limits first-class inputs to the execution governance system.

## How It Works

The physical capability envelope is evaluated before every physical action. The proposed action's requirements, including force, speed, precision, and sensor reliance, are compared against the current envelope state. Actions that exceed the envelope are denied, with the denial recorded in the operational lineage.

The envelope evolves over time: wear tracking progressively reduces capability claims, maintenance events restore them, and environmental monitoring adjusts them in real time.

## What It Enables

Physical capability envelopes enable robotic systems that operate safely throughout their operational lifecycle. New robots operate at full capability. Aging robots automatically restrict their operations to match degraded capabilities. Robots in harsh environments automatically account for reduced sensor reliability. The safety governance is embedded in the capability assessment, not layered on top.

[Applications All 21 steps →](#)

Same primitives. Different domains. One architecture.

Primary Technical Disclosure

[○ One Architecture, Every Domain: How the Same Cognitive Primitives Parameterize Across Autonomous Vehicles, Defense, Companion AI, and Therapeutic Agents](#)

Secondary Technical

[○ Confidence-Governed Autonomous Driving Decisions](#) [○ Quorum-Based Engagement Authorization for Defense Systems](#) [○ Narrative Unlock Engine and Relationship Milestones for Companion AI](#) [○ Attachment Challenge Module: Testing Relational Health](#) [○ Skill-Gated Relational Readiness for Social Platforms](#) [○ Fleet-Level Affective State Aggregation for Traffic Management](#) [○ Therapeutic Relationship Integrity for AI-Assisted Therapy](#) [● Physical Capability Envelopes for Embodied Robotics](#) [○ Curriculum-Gated Adaptive Learning Platforms](#) [○ Continuity-Based Facility Access Control](#) [○ Confidence-Governed Financial Trading Systems](#) [○ Rights-Grade Content Generation With Provenance Tracking](#) [○ EU AI Act Structural Conformity Through Architecture](#)

Applications (General)

[○ Autonomous Vehicle Full-Stack Governance From Sensor to Motor](#) [○ Defense Engagement Authorization Through Multi-Level Confidence](#) [○ Full-Stack Cognition Architecture for Healthcare](#) [○ Full-Stack Cognition Architecture for Financial Services](#) [○ Full-Stack Cognition Architecture for Education](#) [○ Full-Stack Cognition Architecture for Smart Cities](#) [○ Full-Stack Cognition Architecture for Manufacturing](#) [○ Full-Stack Cognition Architecture for Agriculture](#)

Applications (Specific)

[○ Waymo's Stack Lacks Unified Cognitive Governance](#) [○ Anduril's Defense Stack Needs Unified Cognitive Governance](#) [○ Epic Systems Needs Cognitive Governance for Clinical AI](#) [○ Bloomberg Terminal's AI Needs Unified Cognitive Governance](#) [○ Tesla Robotaxi Optimizes Driving, Not Cognitive Architecture](#) [○ Lockheed Martin Automates Targeting, Not Engagement Governance](#) [○ Siemens Healthineers Automates Diagnosis Without Cognitive Governance](#) [○ Palantir AIP Deploys LLMs Without Cognitive Architecture](#) [○ C3 AI Provides Enterprise AI Applications Without Cognitive Coherence](#) [○ UiPath Automates Tasks Without Cognitive Governance](#)

[Applications overview →](#)

AQ

deterministic

autonomy

Legal

Subject to one or more pending U.S. and international patent applications, see [Patents](#) for the current list and status. No license, express or implied, is granted. Any use requires a separate written agreement—see [Licensing](#). Patent applications referenced on this site are pending. Claim scope, if any, is subject to examination and may issue in altered form or not at all. See [Legal](#) for terms and conditions.

Adaptive Query™ is a trademark of Nicholas Clark. U.S. federal registration is pending. federal registration. AQ™, AQ Inside™, Adaptive Index™, Adaptive Network™, Semantic Agent™, @AQ™, AQID™, and Adaptive Coin™ are used as trademarks in connection with the Adaptive Query platform and brand. Other names may be trademarks of their respective owners.

Platform operated by Adaptive Query LLC, which provides patent and trademark licensing services. Copyright © 2025-2026 Nicholas Clark. All rights reserved.

Last updated: 2026-03-03



- [Inventive Steps](#)
- [Licensing](#)
- [Patents](#)
- [Articles](#)
- [Legal](#)
- [Opportunities](#)
- [Sitemap](#)



- 
- [nick@qu3ry.net](mailto:nick@qu3ry.net)
- 72 28 14 36 01



[Invented by Nick Clark](#) | Founding Investors: Devin Wilkie