

# **Recredentialing Recovered Materials: Verifiable Lineage for Reuse and Decommissioning in the Circular Economy**

Recovered structural materials are routinely downcycled, crushed into road base or landfilled, because their service history, grade, and provenance cannot be verified at the point of reuse, so a buyer who cannot trust a salvaged element will not load-bear on it. This application is built on the Credentialed Surfaces architecture, disclosed in U.S. Provisional Application No. 64/050,895, which records each material's lifecycle as a signed lineage chain and recredentials recovered material to a new admissibility profile at its actual recovered grade. It draws on the sibling Memory-Native Identity and Authentication application for the cryptographic binding of identity to authority signatures.

---

## **What This Application Specifies**

This application specifies how the Credentialed Surfaces architecture governs the reuse and decommissioning of structural building materials so that recovered material can reenter service under verifiable lineage rather than being downcycled by default. The disclosed architecture treats a structural element not as an anonymous mass of concrete but as a credentialed material carrying a multi-authority admissibility profile and a

persistent lineage chain: a signed record of credentialed events spanning pre-installation credentialing, in-service operation, state-of-health attestations, end-of-storage-life updates, decommissioning attestations, and recredentialing attestations.

Under the disclosed cradle-to-cradle credentialed substrate flow, a material's lifecycle is a directed graph of credentialed transitions persistent across multiple structural lifetimes. Pre-installation credentialing is entered through a manufacturer-authority signature. In-service operation is entered through an installation-authority signature. End-of-structural-life decommissioning is a credentialed event signed by a licensed demolition or deconstruction contractor admitted under credentialed scope, producing a demolition-recovery attestation that declares the recovered material's grade, mass, and physical state. Recycling-grade recredentialing is then performed by a recycler authority, which conducts recovered-material processing and issues a new admissibility profile at recycled grade. Re-installation returns the material to in-service status under that fresh, honestly graded profile.

Crucially, the admissibility profile is not a single number. Each property surface (structural, thermal, fire-performance, environmental, carbon-sequestration, and others) is independently credentialed by an authority with declared scope and composes with the others through signed, versioned composition rules. A recovered element therefore reenters service with its structural surface re-attested by a structural authority, its fire surface re-attested by a fire authority, and so on, each carrying its own signature rather than a blanket assurance no one stands behind.

## **Why It Matters**

The construction and demolition waste stream is one of the largest material flows on the planet, and the dominant fate of recovered structural material is downcycling. Sound concrete becomes crushed aggregate for fill or road base, a single step before disposal, even when the material retains structural value. The bottleneck is rarely the

physics of the material. It is trust. A structural engineer cannot certify a salvaged beam, panel, or block whose load history, exposure, fatigue accumulation, and original grade are unknown, so the conservative and code-defensible choice is to treat it as scrap.

Material passport and deconstruction-inventory efforts in the industry have tried to close this gap with documentation, but documentation that is detached from the physical element, unsigned, or unverifiable at the point of reuse does not survive a demolition site. The disclosed architecture addresses the trust gap at its root: the lineage chain is bound to the element's credentialed identity by cryptographic signature, each lifecycle transition is signed by the authority responsible for that transition, and the recovered grade is the recycler authority's own attested determination rather than an optimistic seller's claim. Reuse becomes admissible because the buyer is evaluating signed surfaces, not guessing.

This matters most precisely where regulation and liability are strictest. A demolition-recovery attestation that declares grade, mass, and physical state under a licensed contractor's signature gives a downstream code authority something concrete to evaluate, and the composition-rule machinery lets that authority encode reuse policy (for example, derating a recovered element's storage surface for cumulative freeze-thaw or carbonation exposure recorded in its lineage) as signed, versioned logic rather than case-by-case judgment.

## **How It Composes With the Domain**

In a deconstruction workflow, the architecture composes with the existing roles of the demolition and salvage trade rather than replacing them. The licensed deconstruction contractor, already the party that surveys and removes the structure, is the natural decommissioning authority: at removal, that contractor signs a demolition-recovery attestation against each recovered element, declaring grade, mass, and physical state.

Because the element already carried a lineage chain from manufacture and service, the contractor is not inventing a history but closing one chapter and attesting the handoff state.

The recovered material then flows to a recycler authority, a role that maps onto an aggregation yard, a precast reprocessor, or a specialized recovery operator. The recycler conducts recovered-material processing appropriate to the grade and issues a new admissibility profile at recycled grade. For the disclosed carbonaceous structural substrate, the spec describes a concrete closed-loop carbon recovery operation: end-of-life concrete is crushed and graded, the carbon-rich fraction is separated from the cementitious-aggregate fraction (by density separation, magnetic separation of embedded conductors, and selective dissolution of the cementitious phase), the separated fraction is reprocessed, and the recovered material is independently recredentialed by the recycler authority before being entered into a subsequent structural admissibility profile at the recovered grade. The underlying separation and reprocessing techniques are established practice; what the architecture adds is that each stage is a signed, queryable transition, so the recovered grade arrives with provenance attached.

The architecture also composes with carbon and environmental markets through the migrating carbon attestation primitive. Biogenic carbon-credit attestations bound to a material migrate with it across material flows and across structural lifetimes, each migration a credentialed transaction signed by an environmental-credit authority and recorded in the lineage chain. This means a sequestration claim does not evaporate when an element is decommissioned and reused; it travels with the carbon mass, so the environmental accounting survives the lifecycle transition rather than resetting at every handoff.

A further pattern the spec describes, continuous recredentialed, lets material flows happen without demolition at all. Tuck-pointing of mortar joints, surface-coating refresh, cavity-fill replacement, and topping-slab augmentation are each credentialed

events signed by an installer authority, and the element's composite admissibility profile is re-evaluated against the cumulative material flow rather than only at original installation. Under this metabolic-lifetime model, an element's credentialed identity persists across refreshes while the structural element stays in place, so much of what would otherwise be a demolish-and-replace event becomes an in-service recredentialing.

## **What This Enables**

The architecture enables reuse markets that clear on verifiable grade rather than on inspection-and-hope. A salvaged element listed with a signed lineage chain and a recycler-attested recycled-grade profile is something a downstream engineer can specify against, which is the precondition for structural reuse to scale beyond boutique salvage. Embodiments span the full recovery chain: a demolition contractor issuing recovery attestations at the point of teardown; an aggregation yard operating as a recycler authority that recredentials inbound material; a precast reprocessor that recovers and recredentials modular elements for direct re-installation; and a closed-loop operator that retains a material's functional fraction across arbitrarily many cycles, with the only declared losses being processing inefficiencies recorded in the mass-balance attestations.

It also enables reuse policy to be encoded and enforced rather than merely written. Because composition rules are signed, versioned data artifacts with declared conflict-resolution policy, a jurisdiction can publish reuse-admissibility logic (exposure-history derating, mandatory re-attestation of specific surfaces, precedence between a manufacturer's original grade and a recycler's recovered grade) that building energy management systems and code authorities evaluate deterministically at admissibility time. Reuse decisions become auditable: every grade, every signature, every derating is in the lineage chain.

Finally, it enables environmental claims that hold up across the full circular loop. Methane-avoidance attestations from waste-diversion feedstocks and biogenic carbon-credit attestations are bound into the same lineage chain and migrate with the material, so a building's sequestration ledger can be reconstructed from signed events rather than asserted. Recovered material carries its environmental story forward instead of starting from zero each time it changes hands.

## **Boundary Conditions**

This is a provisional disclosure of a credentialing and admissibility-profile architecture, not a built, validated, or benchmarked product. No specific recovery yield, cycle count, energy figure, or cost is claimed here, and none should be inferred. The underlying materials science (structural concrete and cementitious composites, carbon recovery and reprocessing, density and magnetic separation, the durability behavior of pozzolanic and lime binders) is established prior art. The novelty resides in the credentialing and lineage architecture applied to physical materials and in the resulting multi-function credentialed-material category, not in any underlying material, chemistry, bond, or physical effect, none of which is presented as newly discovered.

Several enabling conditions are external to the disclosure. Verifiable reuse depends on credentialing authorities (manufacturer, installer, decommissioning, recycler, structural, fire, and environmental-credit authorities) actually existing, being trusted, and being admitted under credentialed scope in a given jurisdiction; the architecture provides the structure for their signatures but does not conjure the institutions. Building-code recognition of reuse grades and of properties beyond the conventional structural and fire ratings is likewise a regulatory matter outside the patent. A recredentialed grade is only as sound as the recycler authority's processing and attestation, and the architecture makes that attestation auditable rather than guaranteeing its correctness. Where elements were never credentialed at manufacture, the lineage chain begins at recovery, which is weaker than a full cradle-to-cradle record.

## Disclosure Scope

The technology described here, the credentialed admissibility profile, the multi-authority signature model, the signed and versioned composition rules, the cradle-to-cradle lineage chain, the decommissioning and recycling-grade recredentialing transitions, the continuous-recredentialing metabolic-lifetime model, and the migrating carbon attestation, is disclosed in U.S. Provisional Application No. 64/050,895, with cryptographic identity binding drawn from the related Memory-Native Identity and Authentication application. The circular-economy framing, construction and demolition waste context, deconstruction and salvage workflows, regulatory and carbon-market references, and the roles mapped onto contractors, aggregation yards, and reprocessors are external domain context offered to show an enabling implementation; they are not patent claims and do not characterize the legal scope of any claim. Material performance is treated throughout as authority-attested values within an admissibility profile, never as a novel performance claim. Standards bodies, regulators, and market mechanisms are referenced only as real domain facts and not as endorsements of the disclosed architecture.

---

## **Credentialed Surfaces** (</credentialed-materials>)

[All 40 steps → \(/inventive-steps\)](/inventive-steps)

Building surfaces as credentialed agents that participate in the structure's networking and electrical systems.

Provisional application

### **PRIMARY TECHNICAL DISCLOSURE**

- [Credentialed Building Materials: Cryptographic Admissibility for Structural Surfaces \(/articles/credentialed-building-materials-cryptographic-admissibility-for-structural-surfaces\)](/articles/credentialed-building-materials-cryptographic-admissibility-for-structural-surfaces)

## SECONDARY TECHNICAL

- [Carbon-Sequestration Admissibility Surface \(/articles/credentialed-materials/carbon-sequestration-property-surface\)](/articles/credentialed-materials/carbon-sequestration-property-surface)
- [Composition Rules Governing Surface Interactions \(/articles/credentialed-materials/composition-rules\)](/articles/credentialed-materials/composition-rules)
- [Decommissioning And Re-Credentialing Attestation \(/articles/credentialed-materials/decommissioning-and-recredentialing\)](/articles/credentialed-materials/decommissioning-and-recredentialing)
- [Electrical-Distribution Admissibility Surface \(/articles/credentialed-materials/distribution-property-surface\)](/articles/credentialed-materials/distribution-property-surface)
- [End-Of-Storage-Life Attestation \(/articles/credentialed-materials/end-of-storage-life-attestation\)](/articles/credentialed-materials/end-of-storage-life-attestation)
- [Energy-Storage Admissibility Surface \(/articles/credentialed-materials/energy-storage-property-surface\)](/articles/credentialed-materials/energy-storage-property-surface)
- [Lineage Chain Across Material Lifecycle \(/articles/credentialed-materials/lineage-chain-across-lifecycle\)](/articles/credentialed-materials/lineage-chain-across-lifecycle)
- [Authority Signatures Block Binding Property Surfaces To Material Identity \(/articles/credentialed-materials/master-credential-binding\)](/articles/credentialed-materials/master-credential-binding)
- [Multi-Authority Signature Block \(/articles/credentialed-materials/multi-authority-signature-block\)](/articles/credentialed-materials/multi-authority-signature-block)
- [Data Network Admissibility Surface \(/articles/credentialed-materials/network-property-surface\)](/articles/credentialed-materials/network-property-surface)
- [Profile Versioning Continuity \(/articles/credentialed-materials/profile-versioning-continuity\)](/articles/credentialed-materials/profile-versioning-continuity)
- [Structural Admissibility Surface \(/articles/credentialed-materials/structural-property-surface\)](/articles/credentialed-materials/structural-property-surface)
- [Thermal-Property Admissibility Surface \(/articles/credentialed-materials/thermal-property-surface\)](/articles/credentialed-materials/thermal-property-surface)
- [Versioned Admissibility Profiles With Lineage Chain \(/articles/credentialed-materials/versioned-profiles-with-lineage\)](/articles/credentialed-materials/versioned-profiles-with-lineage)
- [Water-Coupled Admissibility Surface \(/articles/credentialed-materials/water-coupled-property-surface\)](/articles/credentialed-materials/water-coupled-property-surface)

## APPLICATIONS · GENERAL

- [Credentialed Structural Materials for Construction and Civil Infrastructure: Carrying Strength, Mix, and Provenance as Multi-Authority Attestations \(/articles/credentialed-materials/construction-and-infrastructure\)](/articles/credentialed-materials/construction-and-infrastructure)
- [Carbon-Credit-Bearing Building Materials: Sequestration Attestations That Survive Incorporation, Transfer, and Decommissioning \(/articles/credentialed-materials/carbon-credit-materials\)](/articles/credentialed-materials/carbon-credit-materials)
- [Building-Product Compliance and Code Approval: Property-Surface Profiles as Machine-Evaluatable Admissibility Evidence \(/articles/credentialed-materials/building-product-compliance\)](/articles/credentialed-materials/building-product-compliance)

- [Credentialed Building Materials for Real Estate Valuation, Insurance, and Disclosure: A Property History That Binds to the Asset \(/articles/credentialed-materials/real-estate-and-asset-lifecycle\)](/articles/credentialed-materials/real-estate-and-asset-lifecycle).
- **[Recredentialing Recovered Materials: Verifiable Lineage for Reuse and Decommissioning in the Circular Economy \(/articles/credentialed-materials/circular-economy-and-decommissioning\)](/articles/credentialed-materials/circular-economy-and-decommissioning)**.
- [Energy and Grid-Coupled Surfaces: Crediting Stationary Storage in Structural Mass Without Trusting the Cell \(/articles/credentialed-materials/energy-and-grid-surfaces\)](/articles/credentialed-materials/energy-and-grid-surfaces).
- [Credentialed Surfaces for Water and Environmental Infrastructure: Signed Performance and Compliance Attestations on Water-Coupled Concrete \(/articles/credentialed-materials/water-and-environmental-infrastructure\)](/articles/credentialed-materials/water-and-environmental-infrastructure).

---

[Credentialed Surfaces overview → \(/credentialed-materials\)](/credentialed-materials)