



[Home](#) [Licensing](#) [Patents](#) [Articles](#)

Ginger.io Detects Behavioral Signals Without a Disruption Model

by [Nick Clark](#) | Published March 28, 2026 | [PDF](#)

Ginger.io, now integrated into Headspace Health, pioneered the use of passive smartphone sensing to detect changes in mental health status. The platform monitors call patterns, text message frequency, movement data, and app usage to identify behavioral shifts that correlate with mental health changes. The sensing is real and the correlations are validated. But detecting that behavior has changed is not the same as modeling why it changed. The signals indicate something happened. Disruption modeling specifies what happened: which pattern of cognitive coherence loss explains the behavioral shift.

What Ginger.io built

Ginger.io's behavioral sensing platform captures passive data from smartphones: movement patterns from accelerometers and GPS, communication patterns from call and text metadata, app usage patterns, and sleep-wake cycle indicators. Machine learning models trained on this data detect when an

individual's behavioral patterns deviate from their baseline in ways that correlate with mental health status changes. The detection triggers outreach from coaches or clinicians.

The passive nature of the sensing is the key innovation. The individual does not need to report symptoms or complete assessments. The phone provides continuous behavioral data from which mental health status changes can be inferred. The system detects that something has changed before the individual might recognize or report it. But the detection is correlational. The system knows that behavior deviated from baseline. It does not know whether the deviation represents attention fragmentation, containment collapse, or a phase shift on the promotion-containment continuum.

The gap between signal detection and disruption modeling

Signal detection identifies that a change occurred. Disruption modeling identifies the structural pattern of the change. A person who stops texting, reduces movement, and shifts sleep patterns could be experiencing containment collapse, where the cognitive system is withdrawing from engagement. Or they could be experiencing attention fragmentation, where the system cannot sustain focused interaction. The behavioral signals overlap. The disruption patterns are distinct. The intervention for each is different.

Without a structural model, behavioral sensing produces alerts but not diagnoses. The alert says: this person's behavior changed significantly. The clinical response must then start from scratch, assessing what is happening through conversation and questionnaires. The sensing detected the change days before the individual would have self-reported, which is valuable. But the sensing cannot specify the nature of the disruption, which limits its clinical utility.

Disruption modeling on the promotion-containment continuum provides the structural framework that makes behavioral signals interpretable. The combination of specific behavioral changes maps to specific positions on the continuum. Reduced communication combined with maintained routine suggests containment without collapse. Reduced communication combined with erratic scheduling suggests containment collapse. The disruption model transforms ambiguous behavioral signals into structurally specific disruption patterns.

What disruption modeling enables for behavioral sensing

With disruption modeling, Ginger.io's behavioral sensing becomes structurally diagnostic rather than merely alerting. The passive signals feed into the disruption model, which identifies the specific pattern of cognitive coherence loss. The output is not just "behavior changed" but "this person is exhibiting channel-locked promotion with attention fragmentation." The clinical response can be targeted to the specific disruption pattern from the first interaction.

Early warning becomes early diagnosis. The behavioral sensing detects change before clinical presentation. The disruption model specifies what kind of change is occurring. Together, they provide early detection of a structurally identified disruption pattern. Coping intercepts can be deployed immediately, calibrated to the specific pattern rather than generic wellness recommendations.

Continuous trajectory monitoring replaces episodic alerting. Instead of triggering an alert when behavior deviates beyond a threshold, the disruption model continuously tracks the individual's position on the promotion-containment continuum. Gradual drift toward a disruptive phase is detected as a trajectory change rather than as a threshold breach. The intervention can occur during the drift rather than after the phase shift.

The structural requirement

Ginger.io solved passive behavioral sensing for mental health monitoring. The structural gap is between detecting behavioral change and modeling cognitive disruption. Disruption modeling provides the structural framework that transforms behavioral signals into identified disruption patterns, enables coping intercepts calibrated to specific patterns, and supports continuous trajectory monitoring rather than threshold-based alerting.

[Disruption Modeling All 21 steps →](#)

Recognize cognitive disruption before it stabilizes.

Primary Technical Disclosure

[◦ AQ-DSM: Diagnosing Cognitive Disruption as Loss of Coherence](#)

Secondary Technical

[◦ Cognitive Disruption as Architectural Phase-Shift](#)[◦ The Promotion-Containment Continuum](#)[◦ Attention Fragmentation: Reward-Biased Over-Promotion of Speculative Branches](#)[◦ Containment Collapse: Loss of the Speculation-Verification Boundary](#)[◦ Channel-Locked Promotion With Tolerance Escalation](#)[◦ Five-Axis Disruption Diagnostic Framework](#)[◦ Computable Therapeutic Dosing for Cognitive Disruption](#)[◦ Intergenerational Coherence Burden in Agent Lineages](#)[◦ Agent Self-Diagnosis and Autonomous Coherence Monitoring](#)[◦ Phase-Shift Early Warning System for Cognitive Disruption](#)[◦ Coherence Restoration Protocol Library](#)[◦ Positive and Negative Symptom Analogs in Containment Failure](#)[◦ Coherence Authorization Failure: Self-Disabling Execution](#)[◦ Pathological Verification Loop: Recursive Containment Audit Failure](#)[◦ Dissociation as Simulation Bypass: Acting on Unverified Planning](#)[◦ Affective Gradient Collapse: Self-Esteem Floor Lock](#)[◦ Resilience as Structural Capacity for Coherence Restoration](#)[◦ Personality Configuration Analogs From Stabilized Coping Regimes](#)[◦ Structural Dependency Patterns Between Agents](#)[◦ Destabilizing Attachment: Mutual Disruption Amplification](#)[◦ Resource-Depletion Pattern: Cognitive Operation Under Scarcity](#)[◦ Therapeutic Agent Interaction Through Behavioral State Recognition](#)[◦ Companion AI Relational Safety Constraints](#)[◦ Multi-Agent Group Coherence Dynamics](#)

Applications (General)

[◦ Coping Under Empathic Pressure: HSP, Narcissism, and Psychopathy as Control-Loop Intercepts](#)[◦ Two Faces of Codependency: Structural Entrapment vs. Emotional Entrapment Under Empathic Pressure](#)[◦ Starving for Each Other: Anxious-Avoidant Attachment as a Semantic Starvation Loop](#)[◦ Intimacy Collapse: A Structural Model of Trauma and Resilience](#)[◦ Structural Diagnosis: How Reward-Modulated Cognition Phase-Shifts Into ADHD and Schizophrenia](#)[◦ Clinical AI Therapeutic Monitoring Through Phase-Shift Detection](#)[◦ Autonomous Agent Fleet Health Through Coherence Diagnostics](#)[◦ Disruption Modeling for Workplace Burnout Detection](#)[◦ Disruption Modeling for Military Operator Resilience](#)[◦ Disruption Modeling for Financial Trader Monitoring](#)[◦ Disruption Modeling for Student Mental Health](#)[◦ Disruption Modeling for Caregiver Fatigue Detection](#)[◦ Disruption Modeling for First Responder Resilience](#)

Applications (Specific)

[◦ BetterHelp Cannot Detect When Therapy Is Making Things Worse](#)[◦ Talkspace Has No Model of Therapeutic Destabilization](#)[◦ Headspace Cannot Detect When Mindfulness Destabilizes](#)[◦ Noom Tracks Behavior Without Modeling Cognitive Disruption](#)[◦ Spring Health Matches Therapists, Not Disruption Patterns](#)[◦ Lyra Health Measures Outcomes, Not Coherence Trajectories](#)[● Ginger.io Detects Behavioral Signals Without a Disruption Model](#)

[Cerebral Prescribes Medication Without Modeling Disruption Dynamics](#)◦ [Modern Health Offers a Care Spectrum Without Disruption Diagnostics](#)◦ [Calm Business Offers Relaxation, Not Disruption Detection](#)
[Disruption Modeling overview →](#)

AQ
deterministic
autonomy

Legal

Subject to one or more pending U.S. and international patent applications, see [Patents](#) for the current list and status. No license, express or implied, is granted. Any use requires a separate written agreement—see [Licensing](#). Patent applications referenced on this site are pending. Claim scope, if any, is subject to examination and may issue in altered form or not at all. See [Legal](#) for terms and conditions.

Adaptive Query™ is a trademark of Nicholas Clark. U.S. federal registration is pending. federal registration. AQ™, AQ Inside™, Adaptive Index™, Adaptive Network™, Semantic Agent™, @AQ™, AQID™, and Adaptive Coin™ are used as trademarks in connection with the Adaptive Query platform and brand. Other names may be trademarks of their respective owners.

Platform operated by Adaptive Query LLC, which provides patent and trademark licensing services. Copyright © 2025-2026 Nicholas Clark. All rights reserved.

Last updated: 2026-03-03



- [Inventive Steps](#)

- [Licensing](#)
- [Patents](#)
- [Articles](#)
- [Legal](#)
- [Opportunities](#)
- [Sitemap](#)



-
- nick@qu3ry.net
- 72 28 14 36 01



[Invented by Nick Clark](#) | Founding Investors: Devin Wilkie