



[Home](#) [Licensing](#) [Patents](#) [Articles](#)

Cognitive Disruption as Architectural Phase-Shift

by [Nick Clark](#) | Published March 27, 2026 | [PDF](#)

Pathological cognitive states are not malfunctions in an otherwise healthy system. They are phase-shifts: stable alternative operating regimes of the same cognitive architecture that produces healthy behavior. The architecture models disruption as structural transitions between regimes rather than as behavioral deviations from a norm, enabling precise identification of which primitives have shifted and how.

What It Is

Cognitive disruption as architectural phase-shift means that pathological states arise from the same cognitive primitives operating in different parameter regimes. The forecasting engine, confidence governor, integrity tracker, and affective state all continue to function, but their operating parameters have shifted to produce a stable pathological configuration rather than a healthy one.

This is structurally distinct from treating disruption as a bug or malfunction. The disrupted agent is not broken; it is operating in an alternative stable regime of its own architecture.

Why It Matters

If disruption is a malfunction, the appropriate response is repair. If disruption is a phase-shift, the appropriate response is regime transition. These require fundamentally different interventions. Repair assumes something is broken and needs fixing. Regime transition assumes the system is operating stably in a suboptimal regime and needs guidance toward a healthier one.

The phase-shift model explains why pathological states are often self-reinforcing: they are stable regimes with their own internal logic, not random deviations that naturally correct.

How It Works

Each cognitive primitive has a range of operating parameters. Normal operation occupies a region of the combined parameter space. Phase-shifts occur when parameters drift beyond boundary conditions, entering a different stable region. The architecture identifies phase-shifts by monitoring parameter trajectories and detecting boundary crossings.

The transition path between regimes is not always reversible through the same route. Some disruptions require traversing through intermediate regimes to reach healthy operation, analogous to how clinical recovery often passes through stages rather than jumping directly from pathological to healthy.

What It Enables

The phase-shift model enables precise diagnostic classification based on which primitives have shifted and in what direction. It enables targeted intervention that addresses the specific parameter shifts rather than treating symptoms. And it enables prediction of disruption onset by monitoring parameter trajectories approaching boundary conditions before the phase-shift actually occurs.

[Disruption Modeling All 21 steps →](#)

Recognize cognitive disruption before it stabilizes.

Primary Technical Disclosure

[◦ AQ-DSM: Diagnosing Cognitive Disruption as Loss of Coherence](#)

Secondary Technical

[● Cognitive Disruption as Architectural Phase-Shift](#)[◦ The Promotion-Containment Continuum](#)[◦ Attention Fragmentation: Reward-Biased Over-Promotion of Speculative Branches](#)[◦ Containment Collapse: Loss of the Speculation-Verification Boundary](#)[◦ Channel-Locked Promotion With Tolerance Escalation](#)[◦ Five-Axis Disruption Diagnostic Framework](#)[◦ Computable Therapeutic Dosing for Cognitive Disruption](#)[◦ Intergenerational Coherence Burden in Agent Lineages](#)[◦ Agent Self-Diagnosis and Autonomous Coherence Monitoring](#)[◦ Phase-Shift Early Warning System for Cognitive Disruption](#)[◦ Coherence Restoration Protocol Library](#)[◦ Positive and Negative Symptom Analogs in Containment Failure](#)[◦ Coherence Authorization Failure: Self-Disabling Execution](#)[◦ Pathological Verification Loop: Recursive Containment Audit Failure](#)[◦ Dissociation as Simulation Bypass: Acting on Unverified Planning](#)[◦ Affective Gradient Collapse: Self-Esteem Floor Lock](#)[◦ Resilience as Structural Capacity for Coherence Restoration](#)[◦ Personality Configuration Analogs From Stabilized Coping Regimes](#)[◦ Structural Dependency Patterns Between Agents](#)[◦ Destabilizing Attachment: Mutual Disruption Amplification](#)[◦ Resource-Depletion Pattern: Cognitive Operation Under Scarcity](#)[◦ Therapeutic Agent Interaction Through Behavioral State Recognition](#)[◦ Companion AI Relational Safety Constraints](#)[◦ Multi-Agent Group Coherence Dynamics](#)

Applications (General)

[◦ Coping Under Empathic Pressure: HSP, Narcissism, and Psychopathy as Control-Loop Intercepts](#)[◦ Two Faces of Codependency: Structural Entrapment vs. Emotional Entrapment Under Empathic Pressure](#)[◦ Starving for Each Other: Anxious-Avoidant Attachment as a Semantic Starvation Loop](#)[◦ Intimacy Collapse: A Structural Model of Trauma and Resilience](#)[◦ Structural Diagnosis: How Reward-Modulated Cognition Phase-Shifts Into ADHD and Schizophrenia](#)[◦ Clinical AI Therapeutic Monitoring Through Phase-Shift Detection](#)[◦ Autonomous Agent Fleet Health Through Coherence Diagnostics](#)[◦ Disruption Modeling for Workplace Burnout Detection](#)[◦ Disruption Modeling for Military Operator Resilience](#)[◦ Disruption Modeling for Financial Trader Monitoring](#)[◦ Disruption Modeling for Student Mental Health](#)[◦ Disruption Modeling for Caregiver Fatigue Detection](#)[◦ Disruption Modeling for First Responder Resilience](#)

Applications (Specific)

[◦ BetterHelp Cannot Detect When Therapy Is Making Things Worse](#)[◦ Talkspace Has No Model of Therapeutic Destabilization](#)[◦ Headspace Cannot Detect When Mindfulness Destabilizes](#)[◦ Noom Tracks Behavior Without Modeling Cognitive Disruption](#)[◦ Spring Health Matches Therapists, Not Disruption Patterns](#)[◦ Lyra Health Measures Outcomes, Not Coherence Trajectories](#)[◦ Ginger.io Detects Behavioral Signals Without a Disruption Model](#)[◦ Cerebral Prescribes Medication Without Modeling Disruption Dynamics](#)[◦ Modern Health Offers a Care Spectrum Without Disruption Diagnostics](#)[◦ Calm Business Offers Relaxation, Not Disruption Detection](#)

[Disruption Modeling overview →](#)

AQ

deterministic
autonomy

Legal

Subject to one or more pending U.S. and international patent applications, see [Patents](#) for the current list and status. No license, express or implied, is granted. Any use requires a separate written agreement—see [Licensing](#). Patent applications referenced on this site are pending. Claim scope, if any, is subject to examination and may issue in altered form or not at all. See [Legal](#) for terms and conditions.

Adaptive Query™ is a trademark of Nicholas Clark. U.S. federal registration is pending, federal registration. AQ™, AQ Inside™, Adaptive Index™, Adaptive Network™, Semantic Agent™, @AQ™, AQID™, and Adaptive Coin™ are used as trademarks in connection with the Adaptive Query platform and brand. Other names may be trademarks of their respective owners.

Platform operated by Adaptive Query LLC, which provides patent and trademark licensing services. Copyright © 2025-2026 Nicholas Clark. All rights reserved.

Last updated: 2026-03-03



- [Inventive Steps](#)
- [Licensing](#)
- [Patents](#)
- [Articles](#)
- [Legal](#)
- [Opportunities](#)
- [Sitemap](#)



-
- nick@qu3ry.net
- 72 28 14 36 01



[Invented by Nick Clark](#) | Founding Investors: Devin Wilkie