



[Home](#) [Licensing](#) [Patents](#) [Articles](#)

Spring Health Matches Therapists, Not Disruption Patterns

by [Nick Clark](#) | Published March 28, 2026 | [PDF](#)

Spring Health applies machine learning to employee mental health, using predictive models to match individuals with therapists, recommend treatment modalities, and forecast clinical outcomes. The matching optimization is genuine: connecting the right person with the right provider improves outcomes. But matching to treatment operates after disruption has already manifested as a recognized condition. The platform does not model the disruption itself: the phase shift from coherent cognitive functioning to destabilized patterns that precedes clinical presentation. The gap is between matching treatment and detecting disruption.

What Spring Health built

Spring Health's platform serves as an employee mental health benefit that combines clinical assessment, provider matching, and outcome measurement. The machine learning models analyze assessment data, treatment history, and outcome patterns to recommend specific therapists and treatment modalities. The system predicts which therapeutic approaches are most likely to succeed for a given individual's presentation, reducing the trial-and-error that characterizes traditional referral processes.

The platform assumes that the individual has already recognized something is wrong and has engaged with the assessment process. The machine learning operates on self-reported symptoms and clinical questionnaire responses. It optimizes what happens after someone seeks help. It does not model the cognitive dynamics that precede the decision to seek help, and it does not detect the structural disruption patterns that indicate cognitive coherence is degrading before symptoms become clinically apparent.

The gap between treatment matching and disruption detection

Treatment matching asks: given this person's symptoms, which treatment will help? Disruption modeling asks: what is happening to this person's cognitive coherence, and where on the promotion-containment continuum are they shifting? The first is a classification and optimization problem. The second is a dynamics problem that requires modeling the trajectory of cognitive state over time.

The promotion-containment continuum describes how cognitive systems balance the drive to explore and engage with the need to protect and withdraw. Healthy functioning involves flexible movement along this continuum. Disruption occurs when the system locks into one extreme: hyperpromotion that cannot contain, or hypercontainment that cannot promote. This phase shift precedes clinical symptoms by days to weeks. It is detectable in behavioral patterns, communication patterns, sleep disruption, and engagement changes long before the individual would describe themselves as symptomatic.

Spring Health's models capture the individual at assessment time. The disruption modeling primitive captures the individual's cognitive trajectory continuously. The assessment snapshot and the trajectory model provide fundamentally different information. The snapshot tells you where someone is. The trajectory tells you where they are heading.

What disruption modeling enables for employee mental health

With phase-shift detection on the promotion-containment continuum, an employee mental health platform can detect cognitive disruption before the employee knows they need help. Behavioral signals available through workplace interactions, communication patterns, schedule changes, and engagement metrics provide the input data. The disruption model tracks the trajectory of these signals and detects the phase shift that indicates coherence is degrading.

Coping intercepts become possible when disruption is detected early. Instead of waiting for the individual to self-identify and engage with treatment, the system can initiate interventions calibrated to the specific disruption pattern. An individual shifting toward hypercontainment needs a different intervention than one shifting toward hyperpromotion. The disruption model specifies the pattern. The intervention matches the pattern.

The five-axis diagnostic framework provides a structural model of the disruption rather than a symptom checklist. Attention fragmentation, containment collapse, channel-locked promotion, authorization failure, and verification loops each represent a specific disruption pattern with specific intervention requirements. The treatment matching that Spring Health provides becomes more precise when the disruption pattern is structurally identified rather than symptomatically described.

The structural requirement

Spring Health solved treatment matching for employee mental health. The structural gap is between matching treatment after symptoms manifest and detecting disruption before clinical presentation. Disruption modeling provides phase-shift detection on the promotion-containment continuum, coping intercepts calibrated to specific disruption patterns, and a structural diagnostic framework that makes treatment matching more precise by identifying the disruption itself.

[Disruption Modeling All 21 steps →](#)

Recognize cognitive disruption before it stabilizes.

Primary Technical Disclosure

◦ [AQ-DSM: Diagnosing Cognitive Disruption as Loss of Coherence](#)

Secondary Technical

◦ [Cognitive Disruption as Architectural Phase-Shift](#) ◦ [The Promotion-Containment Continuum](#) ◦ [Attention Fragmentation: Reward-Biased Over-Promotion of Speculative Branches](#) ◦ [Containment Collapse: Loss of the Speculation-Verification Boundary](#) ◦ [Channel-Locked Promotion With Tolerance Escalation](#) ◦ [Five-Axis Disruption Diagnostic Framework](#) ◦ [Computable Therapeutic Dosing for Cognitive Disruption](#) ◦ [Intergenerational Coherence Burden in Agent Lineages](#) ◦ [Agent Self-Diagnosis and Autonomous Coherence Monitoring](#) ◦ [Phase-Shift Early Warning System for Cognitive Disruption](#) ◦ [Coherence Restoration Protocol Library](#) ◦ [Positive and Negative Symptom Analogs in Containment Failure](#) ◦ [Coherence Authorization Failure: Self-Disabling Execution](#) ◦ [Pathological Verification Loop: Recursive Containment Audit Failure](#) ◦ [Dissociation as Simulation Bypass: Acting on Unverified Planning](#) ◦ [Affective Gradient Collapse: Self-Esteem Floor Lock](#) ◦ [Resilience as Structural Capacity for Coherence Restoration](#) ◦ [Personality Configuration Analogs From Stabilized Coping Regimes](#) ◦ [Structural Dependency Patterns Between Agents](#) ◦ [Destabilizing Attachment: Mutual Disruption Amplification](#) ◦ [Resource-Depletion Pattern: Cognitive Operation Under Scarcity](#) ◦ [Therapeutic Agent Interaction Through Behavioral State Recognition](#) ◦ [Companion AI Relational Safety Constraints](#) ◦ [Multi-Agent Group Coherence Dynamics](#)

Applications (General)

◦ [Coping Under Empathic Pressure: HSP, Narcissism, and Psychopathy as Control-Loop Intercepts](#) ◦ [Two Faces of Codependency: Structural Entrapment vs. Emotional Entrapment Under Empathic Pressure](#) ◦ [Starving for Each Other: Anxious-Avoidant Attachment as a Semantic Starvation Loop](#) ◦ [Intimacy Collapse: A Structural Model of Trauma and Resilience](#) ◦ [Structural Diagnosis: How Reward-Modulated Cognition Phase-Shifts Into ADHD and Schizophrenia](#) ◦ [Clinical AI Therapeutic Monitoring Through Phase-Shift Detection](#) ◦ [Autonomous Agent Fleet Health Through Coherence Diagnostics](#) ◦ [Disruption Modeling for Workplace Burnout Detection](#) ◦ [Disruption Modeling for Military Operator Resilience](#) ◦ [Disruption Modeling for Financial Trader Monitoring](#) ◦ [Disruption Modeling for Student Mental Health](#) ◦ [Disruption Modeling for Caregiver Fatigue Detection](#) ◦ [Disruption Modeling for First Responder Resilience](#)

Applications (Specific)

[◦ BetterHelp Cannot Detect When Therapy Is Making Things Worse](#)[◦ Talkspace Has No Model of Therapeutic Destabilization](#)[◦ Headspace Cannot Detect When Mindfulness Destabilizes](#)[◦ Noom Tracks Behavior Without Modeling Cognitive Disruption](#)● [Spring Health Matches Therapists, Not Disruption Patterns](#)[◦ Lyra Health Measures Outcomes, Not Coherence Trajectories](#)[◦ Ginger.io Detects Behavioral Signals Without a Disruption Model](#)[◦ Cerebral Prescribes Medication Without Modeling Disruption Dynamics](#)[◦ Modern Health Offers a Care Spectrum Without Disruption Diagnostics](#)[◦ Calm Business Offers Relaxation, Not Disruption Detection](#)
[Disruption Modeling overview →](#)

AQ

deterministic

autonomy

Legal

Subject to one or more pending U.S. and international patent applications, see [Patents](#) for the current list and status. No license, express or implied, is granted. Any use requires a separate written agreement—see [Licensing](#). Patent applications referenced on this site are pending. Claim scope, if any, is subject to examination and may issue in altered form or not at all. See [Legal](#) for terms and conditions.

Adaptive Query™ is a trademark of Nicholas Clark. U.S. federal registration is pending, federal registration. AQ™, AQ Inside™, Adaptive Index™, Adaptive Network™, Semantic Agent™, @AQ™, AQID™, and Adaptive Coin™ are used as trademarks in connection with the Adaptive Query platform and brand. Other names may be trademarks of their respective owners.

Platform operated by Adaptive Query LLC, which provides patent and trademark licensing services. Copyright © 2025-2026 Nicholas Clark. All rights reserved.

Last updated: 2026-03-03



- [Inventive Steps](#)
- [Licensing](#)
- [Patents](#)
- [Articles](#)
- [Legal](#)
- [Opportunities](#)
- [Sitemap](#)



-
- nick@qu3ry.net
- 72 28 14 36 01



[Invented by Nick Clark](#) | Founding Investors: Devin Wilkie