

# ICAO Frameworks for Autonomous Aviation Execution

by [Nick Clark](#) | Published April 25, 2026

## Where ICAO Frameworks Are Heading

ICAO's emerging Annex 21 (autonomous-aviation frameworks) and ongoing RPAS panel work establish that autonomous-aviation certification will proceed phase-by-phase rather than through monolithic full-autonomy certification. Each flight phase admits autonomy under phase-specific certification; transitions between phases admit through composite admissibility (operator, ATC, certification authority).

The phase-decomposition direction is regulatorily explicit. Architectural support for the decomposition is operationally underspecified.

## Where Current Autonomy Stacks Mismatch

Most current autonomous-aviation stacks (drone autopilots, eVTOL flight controllers, autonomous-trucking aviation analogs) operate as monolithic stacks rather than phase-decomposed architectures. The mismatch produces structural cost during certification engagement.

Demonstrating phase-by-phase certification compliance against monolithic architecture requires reconstruction; demonstrating against phase-decomposed architecture is structural.

## **What Stage-Gated Commitment Provides**

Each phase becomes an admissibility-evaluated stage. Phase transitions admit through composite admissibility. Emergency-phase escalation operates through declared admissibility transitions. The architecture maps to ICAO's regulatory direction structurally.

## **Why This Matters for eVTOL Programs**

Joby, Archer, Wisk, EHang, Volocopter, and similar eVTOL programs face certification timelines extending across 2026-2030. Architectural alignment with ICAO frameworks early in the certification cycle reduces engagement cost.

First-mover programs adopting architectural support gain regulatory pathway clarity that monolithic competitors cannot match.