Nick Clark · Published April 25, 2026 · PDF

How to Design a Fast-Response Energy Cell for Grid Frequency Regulation

Frequency regulation punishes storage with second-scale, high-current bursts in both directions, and most cell chemistries were never built for that duty. This guide walks through an architectural approach to a cell whose storage physics is bulk and separator-free, so power can be pulled from anywhere in the cell at once. It describes an architecture disclosed in U.S. Provisional Application No. 64/055,649, not a shipping library or a benchmarked product. The home inventive step is the Hydrogen-Aluminum Energy Cell inventive step.

What You Are Building

You are designing a storage cell whose job is grid frequency regulation: absorbing and releasing power in short, sharp bursts to keep grid frequency near its setpoint. This is a power problem, not an energy problem. A frequency-regulation asset spends its life delivering seconds-long spikes of high current, reversing direction on demand, and doing it thousands of times a day. The total energy moved per event is small. The instantaneous power, and the ability to hit it instantly and repeatedly, is everything.

The audience for this guide is anyone architecting storage for that duty: grid-storage engineers, power-electronics integrators, and researchers who keep discovering that a cell sized for energy density is the wrong tool for a regulation signal. The approach here

comes from a filed patent disclosure, the Hydrogen-Aluminum Energy Cell inventive step, described in U.S. Provisional Application No. 64/055,649. It is an architecture you would build and validate yourself. Nothing in this guide has been benchmarked, and no performance claim below goes beyond what the filing itself projects.

Why the Obvious Approaches Fall Short

The standard chemistries do work at the grid, so this is not a straw-man comparison. But their structure fights the regulation duty in specific, describable ways.

Every conventional rechargeable cell (lithium-ion, sodium-ion, lead-acid, nickel-metal-hydride, the flow and metal-air families) is built as an anode and a cathode held apart by an ion-conducting, electron-insulating separator, electrolyte, or membrane. Charge is retained because that separator blocks direct electron flow between two electrodes sitting at different potentials. That separator is load-bearing for charge retention, and it is also an impedance in series with every ampere you draw. High-rate output has to funnel through a localized electrode interface and, in intercalation chemistries, through diffusion-limited insertion kinetics. Both cap how hard you can push a cell before you generate heat and damage instead of power.

The usual fixes are real and widely deployed, and each carries a structural cost the filing names directly. You can oversize the cell so a hard pulse is a gentle fraction of its nominal rating, paying for regulation power in mass and capital you mostly do not use. Or you can pair an energy cell with a separate supercapacitor bank sized for the pulses, paying in system complexity and a second device to integrate and maintain. Neither changes the fact that the energy cell itself still routes peak current through a separator and a localized electrode.

The structural gap, then, is this: in a conventional cell the thing that stores charge and the thing that limits power are coupled through the same separated-electrode geometry. If you want to change the power behavior without a bolt-on device, you have to change

the geometry.

The Architecture

The disclosed approach removes the separator entirely and stores charge in a different place. Every mechanism below traces to U.S. Provisional Application No. 64/055,649.

Bulk-equipotential storage with no internal separator. The cell is a sealed enclosure with two carbon current collectors at opposite faces and, between them, a single continuous volume of a proton-conducting carbon gel that is both electronically and ionically conductive. A population of metal nanoflakes (aluminum in the preferred embodiment) is dispersed throughout that gel. There is no membrane, no separator, no internal barrier other than the gel itself. In a conventional cell that would be an internal short. Here it is the point. Charge is not retained by insulation; it is retained by saturation. With no external load connected, every nanoflake sits at the same electrochemical potential, so there is no internal gradient and therefore no driving force for charge to move. The cell is internally at rest while holding energy.

Charge stored as surface-bonded hydrogen, not intercalated. Energy is held as electron-stabilized metal-hydrogen bonds on the nanoflake surfaces. Charging is a proton-coupled electron transfer: a proton from the gel and an electron from the charging circuit combine at a flake surface to form a bonded hydrogen atom. Discharging reverses it. The filing is explicit that this is surface bonding, distinct from bulk metal-hydride formation, so it does not depend on hydrogen diffusing into and out of a metal lattice. That distinction is what removes the diffusion-limited kinetics that cap intercalation cells.

Why this yields fast response. The filing attributes the high-rate capability to three architectural properties acting together, in Section 9.3:

- The gel's high electronic and ionic conductivity means there is no separator impedance in series with the current.

- The bulk-equipotential storage means charge can be drawn from any region of the cell at once, not just from a localized electrode face.
- The flake-surface storage chemistry means there are no diffusion-limited intercalation kinetics to throttle the rate.

On those grounds the disclosure describes a high-rate discharge mode supporting, as disclosed, sustained currents in the range of approximately 10C to 100C for durations of approximately 1 to 60 seconds without cell damage, aimed explicitly at transient high-power duties including grid-frequency response. Treat those figures as projected ranges from the filing, not measured results.

An optional peak-power mode for the hardest spikes. For transient draws beyond even the high-rate mode, the filing discloses a separate peak-power mechanism (Chapter 9B) that runs three storage mechanisms in parallel: the primary hydrogen-metal surface bonds, a dynamic flake-expansion effect, and a controlled, localized failure of the boron-doped carbon framework at its most strained sites. That controlled failure releases bonding electrons into the gel's conduction band as extra current, then heals afterward as a mobile auxiliary carbon reservoir migrates back to the failed sites. This is the disclosure's answer to peak demand without oversizing and without a separate supercapacitor. It is honest about the cost: round-trip efficiency for a peak event including the heal is projected at roughly 60 to 80 percent, below the roughly 80 to 90 percent projected for normal-rate operation, and each event needs a recovery interval (projected at approximately 30 seconds to 60 minutes) before the framework is fully restored.

Smooth voltage for state estimation. Because the nanoflakes are structurally non-uniform, hydrogen binds across a distribution of bond energies rather than one discrete value. The disclosed result is a smooth, gradually declining discharge voltage rather than a flat plateau, which the filing notes admits accurate state-of-charge estimation directly from open-circuit voltage. For a regulation controller that has to know its headroom at all times, that is a useful property to design around.

How to Approach the Build

This is engineering you would do, not a package you install. A reasonable order:

1. **Decide your power envelope first.** Frequency regulation is defined by ramp rate, burst duration, and event frequency, not by stored kWh. Fix the target C-rate, the maximum event length (the disclosed high-rate window is seconds, not minutes), and the recovery interval you can tolerate between hardest pulses. These numbers decide whether you can stay inside the high-rate mode or need the peak-power mode for the tail of the distribution.
2. **Build the gel, because that is where the difficulty lives.** The filing is explicit that the principal manufacturing complexity is the gel synthesis route; the enclosure, collectors, and terminals are conventional. The disclosed route boron-dopes a biomass feedstock and reduces it under an applied static electric field to a turbostratic graphene framework carrying intrinsic surface charge, then functionalizes it into a hydrophilic proton-conducting domain and a hydrophobic hydrogen-rejecting domain. Expect this to be your longest development loop.
3. **Disperse the nanoflakes and let charge place them.** Pre-synthesized metal nanoflakes are mixed in under inert atmosphere; the static-charged framework drives them to self-distribute uniformly and to settle preferentially into the hydrophobic domain, without active sorting. Do not skip the inert atmosphere: the whole cell depends on the flakes staying in a pristine, reducing environment.
4. **Seal first, then inject hydrogen.** The disclosed order is deliberate. The static-charge environment repels hydrogen, so hydrogen introduced into an open gel evolves back out. You hermetically seal the cell except for one injection port, introduce molecular hydrogen at controlled pressure (disclosed as approximately 1 to 50 atmospheres), then permanently seal the port. Hydrogen content is then conserved for the cell's life.

5. **Add the equipotential case layer if parasitic loss matters.** The preferred enclosure has an aluminum inner layer in Ohmic contact with the gel that floats at the gel's bulk potential, extending the equipotential volume, acting as a Faraday cage, and providing an oxygen barrier and thermal path. The filing also discloses a low-cost variant that omits this layer and accepts higher parasitic discharge, so treat it as a deployment-driven choice.
6. **Design the controller around the disclosed limits.** The peak-power mode is explicitly bounded by external control circuitry. The filing calls for monitoring voltage, current, internal resistance, and cumulative peak-event count, and enforcing: a maximum peak current (disclosed as roughly 50 to 100 times nominal sustained current), a maximum event duration set by reservoir capacity and heal rate, a minimum recovery interval between events, and a cap on cumulative peak events between conditioning operations. Your regulation dispatch logic has to respect the recovery interval, or you will demand a spike from a framework that has not healed yet.

An illustrative interface sketch for that controller, faithful to the disclosed limits and not a working implementation:

```
# Illustrative only. Enforces the disclosed peak-power guardrails.
# Not a library; you implement the cell model and telemetry yourself.

def admit_pulse(cell, requested_c_rate, duration_s, now):
    if requested_c_rate <= cell.high_rate_ceiling_C:      # ~10C-100C window
        return within_high_rate_window(duration_s)         # seconds-scale
    # Beyond high-rate: this is a controlled-carbon-failure peak event.
    if now - cell.last_peak_event < cell.min_recovery_s:   # ~30s-60min
        return DENY                                        # framework not h
    if cell.peak_events_since_conditioning >= cell.peak_event_cap:
        return DENY
    if requested_c_rate > cell.nominal_C * cell.peak_multiplier_max:  # ~50-
        return DENY
    return ADMIT_PEAK
```

7. **Condition, then validate against your own duty cycle.** Cells are delivered pre-conditioned in the disclosure, but any real build has to prove the seconds-scale burst behavior, the recovery timing, and the state-of-charge readout on hardware, under the actual regulation signal you will dispatch.

What This Does Not Give You

This is an architecture, not a drop-in library, and not a product you can buy. There is no SDK, no downloadable pack, and no cell you can order. You implement and validate all of it.

None of the numbers here are measured. The 10C to 100C high-rate window, the peak-power multipliers, the efficiency and recovery ranges, and the energy-density figures elsewhere in the filing are projected ranges disclosed in a provisional application. Nothing has been built or benchmarked, so treat every figure as a design target to verify, not a spec to trust.

The materials science underneath is prior art. Hydrogen chemisorption on metal surfaces, proton-conducting carbon gels, boron-doped turbostratic graphene, and electrochemical nanoflake morphology are all pre-existing and characterized in published research. The disclosed novelty is the combination and architecture, the separator-free bulk-equipotential cell and its operating modes, not any newly discovered basic physics. Do not represent it otherwise.

The duty fit is narrow by design. The fast-response mode is a seconds-scale, high-power tool. It is not a long-duration energy-shifting story, and the peak-power mode explicitly trades efficiency and imposes recovery intervals, so it is not free. If your application needs sustained multi-hour discharge rather than bursts, this is the wrong mode to optimize for, and you should size against the standard discharge mode instead.

Disclosure Scope

The approach described in this guide is disclosed in U.S. Provisional Application No. 64/055,649. This guide is educational. It explains an architecture and how a skilled engineer might approach building it; it is not a warranty, a performance guarantee, or an offer of software, and it does not describe a shipping or benchmarked product. Every quantitative figure is a projected range stated in that filing, and realizing the architecture is engineering work the reader would perform and validate independently.

Sealed electrochemical cell storing energy as reversible covalent hydrogen bonds on carbon electrodes.

Provisional application

PRIMARY TECHNICAL DISCLOSURE

- A Hydrogen-Aluminum Surface-Bond Storage Cell with Bulk-Equipotential Charge Retention (/articles/a-hydrogen-aluminum-surface-bond-storage-cell-with-bulk-equipotential-charge-retention)

SECONDARY TECHNICAL

- Charge Retention by Bulk-Equipotential Saturation Without an Internal Separator (/articles/h-al-battery/bulk-equipotential-charge-retention)
- Storing Energy as Electron-Stabilized Metal-Hydrogen Surface Bonds Formed by Proton-Coupled Electron Transfer (/articles/h-al-battery/hydrogen-metal-surface-bond-storage)
- Electron-Mediated Bond Stability: The Kinetically Trapped Idle State Behind Indefinite Calendar Life (/articles/h-al-battery/electron-mediated-bond-stability)
- Hot-Proton Charging Versus Cold-Proton Discharge: The Bias-Gated Asymmetry That Blocks Self-Charge and Self-Discharge (/articles/h-al-battery/hot-cold-proton-asymmetry)
- Asymmetric Dual-Domain Proton Paths: Separate Ingress and Egress Routes in a Hydrogen-Aluminum Storage Gel (/articles/h-al-battery/asymmetric-dual-domain-paths)
- Hydrophobic Gating: Rejecting Neutral and Molecular Hydrogen While Admitting Only Biased Protons (/articles/h-al-battery/hydrophobic-gating)
- The Storage Gel as a Polarized Electrochemical Switch: Coherent Alignment, Equipotential Locking, and Load-Proportional Discharge (/articles/h-al-battery/gel-polarized-switch)
- Flake-Flake Electrostatic Isolation: DLVO Repulsion as a Self-Discharge Barrier in a Separator-Free Hydrogen-Aluminum Cell (/articles/h-al-battery/flake-electrostatic-isolation)
- Dynamic Flake Expansion: Carbon-Intercalation Wedging to Expose Buried Metal Surface Under Bias (/articles/h-al-battery/dynamic-flake-expansion)
- Hydrogen-Locked Expanded State: Surface-Energy Inversion as a Positive-Feedback Capacity Mechanism (/articles/h-al-battery/hydrogen-locked-expanded-state)
- Secondary Carbon-Hydrogen Storage on Transmuted Intercalated Carbon (/articles/h-al-battery/secondary-carbon-hydrogen-storage)
- Mechanochemical Strain Self-Healing and Use-Positive Aging in a Bulk-Equipotential Hydrogen-Aluminum Cell (/articles/h-al-battery/mechanochemical-self-healing)
- Boron Doping of the Carbon Framework as a Multi-Function Precision Multiplier (/articles/h-al-battery/boron-doping-precision-multiplier)
- The Floating Aluminum Equipotential Extension Layer: A Multifunctional Inner Case for the Bulk-Equipotential Cell (/articles/h-al-battery/aluminum-equipotential-extension-layer)

APPLICATIONS · GENERAL

- Grid-Scale and Renewable-Firming Storage with the Hydrogen-Aluminum Energy Cell (/articles/h-al-battery/grid-scale-storage)
- Building-Integrated and Behind-the-Meter Storage: Putting Energy Cells Inside the Structure With the Hydrogen-Aluminum Energy Cell (/articles/h-al-battery/building-integrated-storage)
- Stationary Backup and UPS Reserve Power for Data Centers, Hospitals, and Telecom (/articles/h-al-battery/backup-and-ups)
- Storage for Microgrids, Islands, and Off-Grid Sites: A Stationary Cell Built From Abundant Materials (/articles/h-al-battery/microgrid-and-off-grid)
- Electric Mobility and Transport: How a Hydrogen-Aluminum Cell Architecture Maps to Vehicle Constraints, and Where It Does Not (/articles/h-al-battery/ev-and-mobility)
- Marine and Rail Energy Storage: A Bulk-Equipotential Hydrogen-Aluminum Cell for Mass-Tolerant Heavy Transport (/articles/h-al-battery/marine-and-rail)
- Supply-Chain-Resilient Field Power: An Abundant-Material Energy Cell for Defense and Expeditionary Operations (/articles/h-al-battery/defense-and-field-power)
- Fast-Response Frequency Regulation and Power Quality Without a Separate Power Bank (/articles/h-al-battery/frequency-regulation-power-quality)

APPLICATIONS · SPECIFIC

- CATL (Contemporary Amperex Technology Co. Limited) alternative: a hydrogen-aluminum cell architecture vs LFP, NMC, and sodium-ion at the chemistry-category and materials-sourcing level (/articles/h-al-battery/catl)
- LG Energy Solution NCM/NCMA lithium-ion cells vs the Hydrogen-Aluminum Energy Cell: an architectural comparison (/articles/h-al-battery/lg-energy-solution)
- Form Energy iron-air multi-day grid storage vs a sealed bulk-equipotential hydrogen-aluminum cell: an architectural comparison (/articles/h-al-battery/form-energy)
- ESS Inc, maker of long-duration iron flow batteries vs a sealed solid-state cell: comparing the flow architecture to the Hydrogen-Aluminum Energy Cell (/articles/h-al-battery/ess-inc)
- Ambri liquid-metal battery vs a solid-state hydrogen-aluminum energy cell: architectural comparison for stationary storage (/articles/h-al-battery/ambri)
- QuantumScape solid-state lithium-metal battery vs a bulk-equipotential hydrogen-aluminum surface-bond cell: an architecture comparison (/articles/h-al-battery/quantumscape)
- Natron Energy sodium-ion (Prussian-blue-electrode) batteries vs a hydrogen-aluminum surface-bond cell: an abundant-materials architecture comparison (/articles/h-al-battery/natron-energy)
- Eos Energy Enterprises Znyth zinc long-duration storage vs a hydrogen-aluminum equipotential cell: an abundant-materials architecture comparison (/articles/h-al-battery/eos-energy)

- EnerVenue nickel-hydrogen stationary cells vs a hydrogen-aluminum equipotential cell: two ways to store hydrogen in a battery (/articles/h-al-battery/enervenue)
- Skeleton Technologies supercapacitors vs the Hydrogen-Aluminum Energy Cell: pairing high power with bulk energy storage (/articles/h-al-battery/skeleton-technologies)

Hydrogen-Aluminum Energy Cell overview → (/h-al-battery)