Nick Clark · Published April 25, 2026 · PDF

LG Energy Solution NCM/NCMA lithium-ion cells vs the Hydrogen-Aluminum Energy Cell: an architectural comparison

LG Energy Solution is one of the largest lithium-ion cell manufacturers in the world, shipping NCM and NCMA pouch and cylindrical cells at automotive and grid scale. This article compares that mature, mass-produced intercalation architecture against a differently structured energy-storage cell: the Hydrogen-Aluminum Energy Cell, disclosed in U.S. Provisional Application No. 64/055,649. The comparison is drawn at the level of cell architecture, and it is honest about the asymmetry between a shipping product line and a provisional disclosure of a cell architecture.

What LG Energy Solution, a major lithium-ion cell manufacturer (NCM/NCMA pouch and cylindrical cells for EV and ESS) Does

LG Energy Solution is a leading manufacturer of lithium-ion cells, supplying electric-vehicle makers and grid and commercial energy-storage operators worldwide. Its portfolio spans nickel-cobalt-manganese (NCM) and nickel-cobalt-manganese-aluminum (NCMA) chemistries built in pouch and cylindrical form factors, produced at very large volume across multiple continents.

The strengths of this position are real and worth stating plainly. LG Energy Solution ships qualified, automotive-grade product today, at a manufacturing scale and quality-control maturity that a paper disclosure cannot match. Its cells carry well-characterized energy density, documented cycle and calendar behavior, established safety testing, and a supply chain and warranty structure that customers can build vehicles and grid installations around. Lithium-ion intercalation is a proven, deeply optimized chemistry, and NCM/NCMA cells represent decades of cumulative engineering. Any comparison has to begin by acknowledging that these products are validated and in the field, and the technology discussed below is not.

The architecture is the standard intercalation architecture. Each cell pairs a layered transition-metal-oxide cathode against a graphite anode, with the two electrodes physically separated by a porous, electron-insulating separator soaked in a liquid organic electrolyte. Energy is stored by shuttling lithium ions into and out of host lattices as the cell charges and discharges. Charge is retained because the separator blocks direct electron flow between two electrodes that sit at different electrochemical potentials.

The Architectural Axis

The axis this comparison addresses is the mechanism of charge retention and the physical structure that implements it, together with how that structure ages. This is a difference in category, not a defect in the LG Energy Solution product.

In an intercalation cell, the separator is the load-bearing component for holding charge. Two electrodes are deliberately built at different potentials, and an electron-insulating layer stands between them; retention depends on that insulation remaining intact. The same architecture couples storage to lattice chemistry: lithium ions are inserted between layers of the host materials, and repeated insertion and removal drives lattice expansion and contraction. Alongside that use-driven strain, intercalation cells undergo degradation processes that operate even at rest, including electrolyte decomposition at electrode interfaces, growth of solid-electrolyte-interphase layers, and transition-metal dissolution. These are well-documented, generic properties of the intercalation category, present in every manufacturer's cells to varying degrees, and mass producers like LG Energy Solution invest heavily in managing them.

The disclosed cell is organized around a different answer to the same question. It removes the separator as an architectural element and retains charge by a different principle. That is the axis: separator-mediated, electrode-pair, lattice-intercalation storage on one side; bulk-equipotential, separator-free, surface-bonded-hydrogen storage on the other.

How the Disclosed Approach Differs

The Hydrogen-Aluminum Energy Cell, as disclosed, is a sealed cell with no internal separator, no internal membrane, and no internal physical barrier between its two carbon current collectors other than a continuous gel. The gel is a dual-domain proton-conducting carbon gel that is itself both electronically and ionically conductive, and it fills the cell interior. A population of metal nanoflakes, in preferred embodiments aluminum, is dispersed throughout the gel.

Charge retention is described as bulk-equipotential. In the charged, open-circuit state, the disclosure describes substantially all metal nanoflakes sitting at the same electrochemical potential, so that no internal potential gradient exists to drive self-discharge. Where a conventional cell holds charge by insulating against current flow, the disclosed cell is described as holding charge by internal saturation to the point that no driving force for internal current exists. An external circuit closure is what breaks the equipotential condition and establishes an asymmetric path through which the cell discharges. This is the structural inversion of the intercalation approach: internal electron conductivity throughout the bulk, which an intercalation cell is engineered to prevent, is here the retention mechanism itself.

Energy is stored not by intercalation into a host lattice but as electron-stabilized metal-hydrogen surface bonds on the nanoflakes, formed by proton-coupled electron transfer during charging and reversed by electron withdrawal during discharge. Because storage is at the surface rather than in the bulk lattice, the disclosure describes it as admitting the bond strength of metal hydride formation without the lattice-strain consequences of interstitial or intercalation storage. The gel is described as a passive medium that hosts the moving species but does not itself participate in the storage chemistry.

The disclosure also describes a mechanochemical self-healing mechanism, in which mobile carbon in the gel migrates to strained sites on the flakes during cycling and locally anneals them. On the basis of this mechanism the disclosure projects a partial inversion of the conventional aging curve, in which cumulative cycling does not produce monotonic capacity loss until an auxiliary carbon reservoir approaches exhaustion. It is important to be precise about the status of this claim: the disclosure itself states that the actual aging profile is to be determined empirically through prototype testing and is recited as projected based on the disclosed mechanism. It is a described mechanism and a projection, not a measured result.

Where They Fit Together

For the foreseeable future these two things occupy different places, and the honest framing is composition and sequencing rather than head-to-head substitution.

LG Energy Solution cells are what a builder specifies today when a vehicle program or a grid installation needs qualified cells with known behavior, an existing supply chain, and warranty coverage. Nothing in the disclosed architecture changes that; a provisional disclosure does not ship, has no qualification data, and cannot be designed into a bill of materials.

The disclosed cell is a candidate architecture for problems that the intercalation category addresses only with difficulty: applications where separator-based failure modes are unwelcome, where long calendar life at rest matters, or where a use-positive aging profile, if it were to be realized empirically, would change the maintenance economics. In that sense the comparison is about which structural approach a future high-energy cell might take, not about which cell to buy this quarter. A realistic path has incumbent intercalation cells serving present demand while an architecture like the disclosed one is reduced to practice and tested against them.

Boundary Conditions

Several honest limits bound this comparison.

The underlying materials science on the disclosed side is pre-existing, not newly discovered. Hydrogen chemisorption on metal surfaces, proton-conducting carbon gels, boron doping of carbon frameworks, electrochemically driven nanoflake restructuring, and mechanochemical repair are all described in the published literature the disclosure itself cites. The novelty asserted is the combination and architecture, the integration of these known phenomena into a sealed, separator-free, bulk-equipotential cell, not a claim to have invented any of the component science.

The status asymmetry is the dominant boundary condition. LG Energy Solution ships mass-produced, qualified cells with measured performance. The Hydrogen-Aluminum Energy Cell is a provisional disclosure of a cell architecture. The energy-density, round-trip-efficiency, and cycle-life figures in the disclosure are estimates and projections tied to described mechanisms and stated ranges; they are not benchmarked results, and the disclosure repeatedly flags that actual values are to be determined empirically through prototype testing. No claim is made here that the disclosed cell has been built, validated, or benchmarked, and none of its projected numbers should be read as measured or as superior to any shipping product.

Finally, the disclosure itself acknowledges failure and degradation modes, including eventual exhaustion of the auxiliary carbon reservoir that supports healing, and long-timescale aluminum-cation migration into boron-doped regions of the gel. These are internal to the disclosed architecture and do not bear on any competitor product.

Disclosure Scope

This article compares the Hydrogen-Aluminum Energy Cell, disclosed in U.S. Provisional Application No. 64/055,649, against the general architecture of NCM and NCMA lithium-ion cells associated with LG Energy Solution. The technical claims about the disclosed cell trace to that provisional; its architectural elements, mechanisms, and quantitative ranges are as described there, and its performance figures are projections and estimates, not measured or validated results. The description of LG Energy Solution and of lithium-ion intercalation is provided as external market and technical context, drawn from generally known, architecture-level facts about a real and widely deployed product category; it is not a claim of the filing, and nothing here should be read as asserting any defect, deficiency, or failure in any LG Energy Solution product. The differences discussed are structural and categorical, and the comparison is offered on those terms.

Hydrogen-Aluminum Energy Cell (/h-al-battery)

All 40 steps → (/inventive-steps)

Sealed electrochemical cell storing energy as reversible covalent hydrogen bonds on carbon electrodes.

Provisional application

PRIMARY TECHNICAL DISCLOSURE

- A Hydrogen-Aluminum Surface-Bond Storage Cell with Bulk-Equipotential Charge Retention (/articles/a-hydrogen-aluminum-surface-bond-storage-cell-with-bulk-equipotential-charge-retention)

SECONDARY TECHNICAL

- Charge Retention by Bulk-Equipotential Saturation Without an Internal Separator (/articles/h-al-battery/bulk-equipotential-charge-retention)
- Storing Energy as Electron-Stabilized Metal-Hydrogen Surface Bonds Formed by Proton-Coupled Electron Transfer (/articles/h-al-battery/hydrogen-metal-surface-bond-storage)
- Electron-Mediated Bond Stability: The Kinetically Trapped Idle State Behind Indefinite Calendar Life (/articles/h-al-battery/electron-mediated-bond-stability)
- Hot-Proton Charging Versus Cold-Proton Discharge: The Bias-Gated Asymmetry That Blocks Self-Charge and Self-Discharge (/articles/h-al-battery/hot-cold-proton-asymmetry)
- Asymmetric Dual-Domain Proton Paths: Separate Ingress and Egress Routes in a Hydrogen-Aluminum Storage Gel (/articles/h-al-battery/asymmetric-dual-domain-paths)
- Hydrophobic Gating: Rejecting Neutral and Molecular Hydrogen While Admitting Only Biased Protons (/articles/h-al-battery/hydrophobic-gating)
- The Storage Gel as a Polarized Electrochemical Switch: Coherent Alignment, Equipotential Locking, and Load-Proportional Discharge (/articles/h-al-battery/gel-polarized-switch)
- Flake-Flake Electrostatic Isolation: DLVO Repulsion as a Self-Discharge Barrier in a Separator-Free Hydrogen-Aluminum Cell (/articles/h-al-battery/flake-electrostatic-isolation)
- Dynamic Flake Expansion: Carbon-Intercalation Wedging to Expose Buried Metal Surface Under Bias (/articles/h-al-battery/dynamic-flake-expansion)
- Hydrogen-Locked Expanded State: Surface-Energy Inversion as a Positive-Feedback Capacity Mechanism (/articles/h-al-battery/hydrogen-locked-expanded-state)
- Secondary Carbon-Hydrogen Storage on Transmuted Intercalated Carbon (/articles/h-al-battery/secondary-carbon-hydrogen-storage)
- Mechanochemical Strain Self-Healing and Use-Positive Aging in a Bulk-Equipotential Hydrogen-Aluminum Cell (/articles/h-al-battery/mechanochemical-self-healing)
- Boron Doping of the Carbon Framework as a Multi-Function Precision Multiplier (/articles/h-al-battery/boron-doping-precision-multiplier)
- The Floating Aluminum Equipotential Extension Layer: A Multifunctional Inner Case for the Bulk-Equipotential Cell (/articles/h-al-battery/aluminum-equipotential-extension-layer)

APPLICATIONS · GENERAL

- Grid-Scale and Renewable-Firming Storage with the Hydrogen-Aluminum Energy Cell (/articles/h-al-battery/grid-scale-storage)
- Building-Integrated and Behind-the-Meter Storage: Putting Energy Cells Inside the Structure With the Hydrogen-Aluminum Energy Cell (/articles/h-al-battery/building-integrated-storage)
- Stationary Backup and UPS Reserve Power for Data Centers, Hospitals, and Telecom (/articles/h-al-battery/backup-and-ups)

- Storage for Microgrids, Islands, and Off-Grid Sites: A Stationary Cell Built From Abundant Materials (/articles/h-al-battery/microgrid-and-off-grid)
- Electric Mobility and Transport: How a Hydrogen-Aluminum Cell Architecture Maps to Vehicle Constraints, and Where It Does Not (/articles/h-al-battery/ev-and-mobility)
- Marine and Rail Energy Storage: A Bulk-Equipotential Hydrogen-Aluminum Cell for Mass-Tolerant Heavy Transport (/articles/h-al-battery/marine-and-rail)
- Supply-Chain-Resilient Field Power: An Abundant-Material Energy Cell for Defense and Expeditionary Operations (/articles/h-al-battery/defense-and-field-power)

APPLICATIONS · SPECIFIC

- CATL (Contemporary Amperex Technology Co. Limited) alternative: a hydrogen-aluminum cell architecture vs LFP, NMC, and sodium-ion at the chemistry-category and materials-sourcing level (/articles/h-al-battery/catl)
- **LG Energy Solution NCM/NCMA lithium-ion cells vs the Hydrogen-Aluminum Energy Cell: an architectural comparison (/articles/h-al-battery/lg-energy-solution)**
- Form Energy iron-air multi-day grid storage vs a sealed bulk-equipotential hydrogen-aluminum cell: an architectural comparison (/articles/h-al-battery/form-energy)
- ESS Inc, maker of long-duration iron flow batteries vs a sealed solid-state cell: comparing the flow architecture to the Hydrogen-Aluminum Energy Cell (/articles/h-al-battery/ess-inc)
- Ambri liquid-metal battery vs a solid-state hydrogen-aluminum energy cell: architectural comparison for stationary storage (/articles/h-al-battery/ambri)
- QuantumScape solid-state lithium-metal battery vs a bulk-equipotential hydrogen-aluminum surface-bond cell: an architecture comparison (/articles/h-al-battery/quantumscape)
- Natron Energy sodium-ion (Prussian-blue-electrode) batteries vs a hydrogen-aluminum surface-bond cell: an abundant-materials architecture comparison (/articles/h-al-battery/natron-energy)
- Eos Energy Enterprises Znyth zinc long-duration storage vs a hydrogen-aluminum equipotential cell: an abundant-materials architecture comparison (/articles/h-al-battery/eos-energy)

Hydrogen-Aluminum Energy Cell overview → (/h-al-battery)